



SCRUTINY REVIEW – (DRAFT) ONE PAGE STRATEGY

| FOR COMPLETION BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE | |
|---|---|
| Broad topic area | Tackling Multiple Deprivation |
| Specific topic area | To look to ensure that CBC’s policies and service delivery are targeted at working with communities to help them address the causal factors of multiple deprivation that it can influence and it should be working with other stakeholders to ensure that their efforts are similarly targeted. |
| Outcomes/Terms of Reference for the review | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify the causes, the causes of the causes, and possibly higher differentials of causation. • Identify the areas that CBC can directly influence to help tackle multiple deprivation. • Identify the other stakeholders that have the ability to help tackle multiple deprivation. • Identify the outcomes that we would like to achieve, and what indicators show whether our work is having the desired effect. • Hold scrutiny sessions with those stakeholders to ensure that we understand their aspirations and what they are able to contribute. • Perform a needs assessment for the each of the areas looking at any LSOA that is in the most deprived quintile (20%) for any of the IMD domains. • Make recommendations to Council, Cabinet, CBC representatives on outside bodies, to the LGA, the MHCLG, etc. on the resources, policies, powers and funding that are needed to help tackle multiple deprivation. • Provide an over-arching coordination role with the various other stakeholders to ensure that organisations’ local delivery in Cheltenham are all directed towards the same outcomes and objectives. <p>It is hoped that the scrutiny working group will be the impetus for Cheltenham trying to develop a “Cheltenham Tackling Deprivation Strategy 2022-2030” document similar to the Portsmouth City Council “Portsmouth’s Tackling Poverty Strategy 2015-2020” document.</p> |
| How long should the review take? | No strict time constraint |
| Recommendations to reported to: | <p>Overview & Scrutiny for endorsement</p> <p>Cabinet/Council depending on the recommendations made</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| Membership: | TBC (<i>members consulted on 4th October 2021</i>) |
| FOR COMPLETION BY OFFICERS | |
| Officers experts and witnesses | <p>In time, the task group may wish to hear from those officers working in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community development • Partnership working • Housing • Enforcement • Green space • Golden Valley • Social value <p>Officers are still cautious about staff capacity and are advising that the STG plan for the review to take 12 months+</p> <p>In addition, the STG may wish to consider escalating its findings and recommendations to Leadership Gloucestershire in recognition of the work it does to co-ordinate county-wide partnership working</p> |
| Sponsoring officer | Richard Gibson, Strategy and Engagement Manager |
| Facilitator | N/A |
| FOR COMPLETION BY THE SCRUTINY TASK GROUP | |
| Are there any current issues with performance? | |
| Co-optees | |
| Other experts and witnesses | |
| Other consultees | |
| Background information | |
| Suggested method of approach | |
| How will we involve the public/media? Or at what stages | |
| Preferred timing for meetings | |