

APPLICATION NO: 21/02201/CONF		OFFICER: Mr Sam Reader	
DATE REGISTERED: 4th October 2021		DATE OF EXPIRY : 4th April 2022	
WARD: Leckhampton		PARISH: LECKH	
APPLICANT:			
LOCATION:	16 Thompson Drive Cheltenham Gloucestershire		
PROPOSAL:	To confirm TPO no 781		

REPRESENTATIONS

Number of contributors	1
Number of objections	1
Number of representations	0
Number of supporting	0

14 Thompson Drive
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL53 0PL

Comments: 10th September 2021

Following the notice received yesterday 9th September reference 21/00781/TREEPO, I would like to raise a formal objection to the application based on both a current legal nuisance and continued negligence in respect to the duty of care from the Owners of 16 Thompson Drive.

As you can see from the plans and inspection you have carried out. The Beech tree that has been subjected to the tree preservation order is significantly encroaching on our boundary causing a nuisance to our family and property for a number of reasons:

1. The encroachment of branches have previously damaged cars in the driveway and have blocked our gutters/drainage system and blocks light into our home, which affect the enjoyment of our property; more seriously
2. There are problems being caused by the roots in regards to damage to our fencing, driveway and we are concerned about future subsidence of our house
3. The roots also affect the water content of the soil impacting the health of our grass and plants
4. The above cause undue stress to myself and my family

The owners of 16 Thompson Drive have failed to adequately maintain the tree for the 10 years we have lived at 14 Thompson drive, i.e. no regular pruning. Despite a number of conversations with various family members regarding our concern, they had until recently refused any maintenance contribution towards the tree. We had undergone pruning on our border to prevent further damage to our property as listed above. Due to the lack of regular maintenance from number 16 over the years the Crown of the tree has become unbalanced (according to council recommended tree surgeons), 2 years ago we had an amicable conversation with number 16 to split the cost of maintenance to rebalance the tree. After paying the contribution for our half the work was never completed satisfactorily based on the agreement from the Tree surgeon and the

tree remains unbalanced - which is both not aesthetically pleasing and a future safety risk if is not remedied.

I am disappointed there has not been any open discussion or consultation with us prior to the issue of this tree preservation notice and will be seeking further legal advise on how to prevent the continued Negligence from number 16 in order to prevent or minimise the risk of interference with and future damage to our property. I would like to see an agreed maintenance schedule approved by yourself for the tree moving forward to bring balance and restrict excessive growth.

Please confirm acknowledgement of my concerns and objection to the tree preservation order 21/00781/TREEPO in writing or by responding to the email below.