

Appendix 2:

Consultation responses

Stakeholders:

In support of PSPO: (none against PSPO)

Elected member:

From:
Sent: 21 April 2020 21:54
To:
Subject: PSPO

Hi.

I refer to your consultation to extend the above for another 3 years. I fully support the proposal, I do think clearer signage in parks particularly would not go amiss if the extension is approved,

Kind regards

█

Inspector from local Neighbourhood Policing Team:

From: █
Sent: 06 May 2020 11:26
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: FW: Consultation - alcohol and dogs PSPO extension 2020

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the PSPO consultation process.

I agree with the benefits and necessities of the existing PSPO being extended. The data that was provided demonstrates that it is both effective in terms of the results it delivers and the impact upon the behaviour of those involved.

Many thanks

█

Inspector
Cheltenham Neighbourhood Policing Team
Gloucestershire Constabulary
Cheltenham Police Station, Lansdown Road, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire,
GL51 6QH

BID:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 April 2020 11:03
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: Extension 'alcohol and dogs' Public Spaces Protection Order

Dear Sir / Madam

Thank you for including Cheltenham BID in your consultation process to extend this PSPO for a three year period.

We have read the consultation document and the current PSPO and the BID is in favour of the extension.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Operations Manager,
Cheltenham BID,
First Floor, Isbourne House, 3 Wolseley Terrace, Oriel Road, Cheltenham GL50 1TH

Elected member:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 May 2020 16:15
To: PSPO Consultations
Cc: Internet - Democratic Services
Subject: Re: Consultation - alcohol and dogs PSPO extension 2020

I response to this consultation, I am generally very happy for the order to stay in place but I would ask that the list of designated places where dogs must be kept on a lead (as opposed to those where owners may be asked to do this) is reviewed so that not ALL public footpaths are automatically included. While this is sensible in most cases and avoids nuisance and risk (especially next to roads), there are exceptions such as the pathway from Merestones Road through to St Michael's Close in Warden Hill next to Hatherley Brook which at one point is in effect a small park, not next to a road and somewhere small dogs could easily be exercised safely.

Leckhampton footpaths 8 (off Farm Lane) and 18 (off Leckhampton Road) are other examples entirely separate from the road network and rural in character and some footpaths are in open spaces anyway such as on Burrows Field and below Daisybank Road where you can't really enforce a lead rule anyway because the dogs are allowed off the lead on the wider space.

A list of such exceptions could be included with the default being for dogs to be kept on the lead elsewhere.

[REDACTED]

Members of the public:

In support of PSPO:

Member of the public – 1:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 May 2020 14:09
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: PSPO - Public Spaces (Cheltenham) Order 2017

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Cheltenham, currently living at [REDACTED]

If you wish to respond to the consultation with your views about whether this PSPO and its conditions should be extended for a further three year period, you can do so by:

I am in favour of renewing the PSPO.

Yours,

[REDACTED]

Member of the public – 2:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 May 2020 10:49
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: Extension of pspo

Hello,

I truly believe this needs a further extension and better understanding to general public about the PSPO.

Dog mess in particular, I run [REDACTED]. There is often dog mess left behind around Winchcombe street and Albion street. The anti-social drinking seems to have moved down the road to just underneath the Cheltenham BID sponsored Art on the junction of Albion street and Winchcombe street.

An extension would therefore be welcomed by myself and the other businesses on our road.

We have a lot of children at our academy as members, so there safety and well being under these two issues are of course important to me.

Many Thanks

[REDACTED]

Member of the public – 3:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 May 2020 23:13
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: Response

Hello,

I agree that the PSPO should be extended, and feel that the areas where dogs are meant to be kept on the lead could be more clearly signposted at the location. In particular, at nature reserves. It should also be made clear on signs that dogs are not allowed in bodies of water, particularly where there are lots of wild fowl.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

Member of the public – 4:

[REDACTED]

Sent: 26 May 2020 21:57
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: Public Spaces Protection Order consultation

Dear Sir/Madam

I'm replying to your request for comments on the proposal to extend the PSPO 2017.

I agree that the prohibition of alcohol consumption and the obligations on persons with dogs (including re fouling, use of leads, exclusion areas and walking more than 4 dogs) should be extended for the benefit of all the users of parks, streets and other public spaces. I understand that all children playgrounds are automatically included as designated areas where this Order applies. However, it is difficult to enforce in unfenced playgrounds, such as at the end of the Honeybourne Way, towards the train station, where dogs are very often allowed around the slides and other playground installations.

I agree that the Council should have the power to enforce this Order where and when necessary and I support the extension.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Cheltenham

Member of the public – 5:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 May 2020 11:10

To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: PSPO extension

Hello

I feel it makes complete sense to extend for three years given the benefits.

Thanks
[REDACTED]

Member of the public – 6:

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 May 2020 11:40
To: PSPO Consultations
Subject: Dogs in Public Spaces

I think all dogs should be on leads in our public park. I have a right to sit down in a park without someone's dog running up to and slobber on me! If all dogs were on leads then dog fouling not being picked up would not be so much of a problem I think. I think there should be separate fenced of areas for dogs. I do not think it unreasonable that there should be dog free areas in public park. Dog owners appear to take over the whole of the spacer. I think I have more rights not to be bothered by a dog than they have walking them in a public space. The obvious answer to dog fouling now DNA testing is affordable. Is bring In a dog licence that includes DNA test. Then any any fouling found could be tested and a fine issued.

Regards
[REDACTED]

Member of the business community in Cheltenham – comment on further areas for PSPO as a result of the public consultation – 1:

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 April 2020 14:45
To: PSPO Consultations
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: BT Building Oriel Road GL50 1TH

I have been contacted by [REDACTED] from the Council, as we have had dealings before trying to stop the amount of issues we get with vagrants inside and outside the BT premises property perimeter, with rough sleepers and others.

We have had staff threatened and there has been numerous issues with drinking strong lager and drug taking within the boundary of the premise and on the low walls outside the building in Oriel Road.

We also have stacks of refuse from these individuals including syringes which are a hazard.

I understand from Louis, that the section of Oriel Road between Wolseley terrace (GL50 1TH) and Vittoria Walk, is currently not included in the PSPO plan. We would very much like this to be added please

We appreciate the issues that people have locally, but we also need to protect the staff who work in the BT Building in Oriel Road from unwanted advances, threats and hazardous waste

Your assistance in this matter would be much appreciated

Many Thanks

Regards

[REDACTED]

Members of the public:

Not in favour of the PSPO:

Member of the public – 1:

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 01 May 2020 22:42

To: PSPO Consultations

Subject: PSPO consultation

Dear Cheltenham council

As a responsible member of the local community I see it is an absolute shame that there is a public order preventing people from drinking responsibly in some of the most beautiful environments in Cheltenham.

Some of the best international cities allow responsible drinking in public spaces. With countries like France and Spain having much lower incidences of harmful drinking whilst still allowing drinking in public spaces. If we don't foster this in our community we relegate drinking to disorderly and hidden establishments which is a breeding ground for harmful and anti-social drinking behaviours.

By your own evidence there were only 11 cases of antisocial drinking punished by the PSPO. I doubt that any of these were significant and therefore strongly refute the idea that not having this PSPO will increase antisocial drinking in our public spaces.

I do agree however that during certain events/festivals the town must change regulations to make these mass events more orderly. However I refute the need for this order, which harms local residents from enjoying their public spaces and hinders the fostering of positive drinking behaviour.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Cheltenham