

## Council

11 December 2017

### Motions

| <b>Motion A</b> | <b>Proposed by: Councillor Clucas</b>   | <b>Seconded by: Councillor Fisher</b>    |
|-----------------|---|--|
|                 | <p>In view of the concerns expressed by residents who live in the area, where the significant traffic flow makes crossing the road at the junction, entering or egressing Windyridge Road by pedestrians or vehicles, hazardous, GCC Highways is requested to look into providing a roundabout or traffic lights at the Windyridge Road/Swindon Road junction. It is further requested that a response is made to Cheltenham Borough Council in relation to timeline and processes to consult residents.</p>  |  |
| <b>Motion B</b> | <b>Proposed by: Councillor Jeffries</b>   | <b>Seconded by: Councillor Bickerton</b> |
|                 | <p>The West Cheltenham strategic allocation in the Joint Core Strategy has been found sound in the inspector's report.</p> <p>In the very short period that this site has been progressed residents of Springbank have had little opportunity to react given what has been a very fluid situation, getting involved, informed and organised has been very limiting due to the condensed timescales.</p> <p>Views ranging from no development at all to we need homes and jobs are widespread, indeed an entire range of opinions between these two points can be heard.</p> <p>This has been reflected in only one sentence in the inspector's report at para 192.</p> <p>There is a feeling amongst residents that having worked extremely hard to have their voices heard they have been ignored.</p> <p>This is especially evident given the creation of a Springbank neighbourhood forum and their formulation of a green space application to Cheltenham Borough council.</p> <p>The green space was carefully crafted and developed taking in a wide range of views, wrapping around four specific walking routes which are subject to a rights of way application which has been submitted to Gloucestershire County Council.</p> <p>Residents across several decades have utilised these walks and the green space has been designed from that starting point, to benefit the existing and proposed new communities both residential and commercial.</p> <p>The Springbank neighbourhood forum green space application has not been given any consideration by the JCS inspector in her report,</p> |  |

this is fundamentally unfair and inconsistent given her inclusion & reference to other green space applications, such as those proposed in the north west and south Cheltenham areas / sites.

Given the statement in the JCS final report (para 196) which states that each strategic allocation should have its own directions for developers, on infrastructure and transport etc, Council should agree that a requirement within such direction is also a requirement for green infrastructure.

**Therefore, council resolves to: -**

Use the example of the Swindon Village and Leckhampton green space applications and acknowledge the Springbank Neighbourhood Forum's NPPF Local Green Space (LGS) application as the residents preferred green space in the west Cheltenham strategic site.

Recognise the importance of the Springbank Neighbourhood Forum NPPF LGS applications indicative layout in the context of the whole west Cheltenham strategic allocation, for any ongoing discussion with the development consortium who are currently preparing a planning application.





|  |                                       |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Motion C</b>  | <b>Proposed by: Councillor Clucas</b> | <b>Seconded by: Councillor Harvey</b> |
| <p>Council places on record its thanks to the Parish Councils across the county, who have written with their support for retaining a consultant led, Type 1, 24 hour full A&amp;E facility at Cheltenham General Hospital. It recognises that in Cheltenham, North and East Gloucestershire, there is real concern at the potential downgrading of A&amp;E to an Urgent Care Facility, overseen by non-specialist GPs.</p> <p>It recognises the role of the Health and Wellbeing Board and asks that it consults with Cheltenham residents as to the long term future of medical services.</p> <p>It regrets that the STP promised for Spring 2017, has not yet been published.</p> <p>It notes the statement by the MP for Cheltenham, Alex Chalk, to a meeting of stakeholders that he fully supports the retention of a full, 24 hour, A&amp;E service at CGH. It requests the Member of Parliament to write to GCC, asking that the Health Scrutiny Committee, HOSC, urgently re-examine proposals which downgrade the A&amp;E facility.</p> |                                       |                                       |