<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLICATION NO: 15/02065/FUL</th>
<th>OFFICER: Mr Ben Hawkes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WARD:</td>
<td>PARISH:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT: Ms N Powell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION: Burrows Sports Field, Merlin Way, Cheltenham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSAL: Construction of BMX pump track</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATION**

46 Merlin Way  
Cheltenham  
Gloucestershire  
GL53 0LU

Comments: 15th February 2016  
Letter attached.
14 February 2016 13:55

To:
Councillor Jacky Fletcher; Tracey Crews; Councillor Adam Lillywhite;
andrew4leckhampton@gmail.com; Councillor Andrew McKinlay; Councillor Bernard
Fisher; Councillor Chris Mason; Councillor Chris Nelson; Councillor Colin Hay;
diggoryseacome@btinternet.com; Councillor Flo Clucas; Councillor Garth Barnes;
Councillor Helena McCloskey; Councillor Jon Walklett;
Klara.Sudbury@gloucestershire.gov.uk; Councillor Malcolm Stennett; Councillor
Matt Babbage; Councillor Pat Thornton; Councillor Rowena Hay; Councillor Simon
Wheeler; Councillor Wendy Flynn; iain.dobie@gloucestershire.gov.uk

Subject:
Analysis of Comments to Burrows Field BMX Track proposal.pdf

Dear All,

I have become quite concerned about the application to build a BMX track on Burrows Field and hence did some analysis of the online comments and letters that have been submitted. In particular I looked at the numbers in objection and support in relation to their origins. I did that by taking the addresses of the respondents and using Google maps to find their distances by road from the entrance to the field.

Some key derived facts and a histogram of the balance by distance from the field are in the attached document. (The distance bands are entirely my choice but I think the picture is quite clear).

Best regards,

40 Merlin Way
Cheltenham
GL53 0LU
would be affected are more than overwhelmingly against.
Immediate environment would not be affected, are in support, whilst those who really
is 0.57 miles. In other words, a minority living further away, whose properties and
from the entrance to the field of the supporters is 1.42 miles, whilst that of the objectors
that is twice as many in objection as in support. Further to that, the average distance
6. Of all the respondents living in Cheltenham, 176 are in objection, whilst 58 are in support.

and 7 are in support.

5. Of the 98 respondents living within ½ mile of the entrance to the field, 91 are in objection.

4. Of the 55 respondents living very close by (within 0.2 of a mile of the entrance to the
sizeable majority in objection.

3. Of the 225 total responses there are 242 objections versus 83 in support. That is a very

overwhelming majority in objection.

2. Of the 25 letters, 24 are in objection and just 1, from out of town; is in support. That is an

1. There are 225 responses in total, 200 online and 25 letters.

Key Facts

Analysis of Comments to Burrows Field BMX Track Proposal
Distance in miles from entrance to field

Balance of Objection and Support by Distance from Site

Analysis of Comments to Burrells Field BMX Track Proposal