

**Cheltenham Borough Council**  
**Allocation of Remaining Health Inequalities Funds**  
**Officer Decision**  
**25 March 2015**

<b>Accountable member</b>	<b>Cllr. Rowena Hay, Cabinet Member Healthy Lifestyles</b>
<b>Accountable officer</b>	<b>Richard Gibson, Strategy and Engagement Manager</b>
<b>Ward(s) affected</b>	<b>All</b>
<b>Key Decision</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Executive summary</b>	Gloucestershire County Council allocated a budget of £40,000 to Cheltenham Borough Council in 2014/15 to allocate to local health inequality projects. Cabinet on 11 November 2014 allocated £20,213.50 to four projects. This report sets out how the remaining £19,786.50 will be allocated.
<b>Recommendations</b>	<b>That the Strategy and Engagement Manager, after consultation with the Cabinet Member Healthy Lifestyles, agrees the allocation of the remaining Health Inequalities Fund as set out in appendix 2.</b>
<b>Financial implications</b>	The County Council's agreed budget for 2014-15 includes an allocation of £40k to support health inequality projects. The funding has been received.  <b>Contact officer: Des Knight</b> <b>Accountant</b> <b>Des.Knight@cheltenham.gov.uk</b> <b>01242 264124</b>
<b>Legal implications</b>	With regards to the grants allocated as part of the county council's funding, the recipients of the grants will be required to enter into a Community Giving Grant agreement with the Authority prior to payment being made.  It is recommended that the Authority enters into an agreement with the County Council to regularise this arrangement.  <b>Contact officer: Donna Ruck</b> <b>Solicitor – One Legal</b> <b>donna.ruck@tewkesbury.gov.uk</b> <b>01684 272696 or 01242 774929</b>
<b>HR implications (including learning and organisational development)</b>	None identified

<b>Key risks</b>	If funding is allocated to an organisation that subsequently goes onto use the funding on a fraudulent basis. This is held on the Commissioning Division Risk Register.
<b>Corporate and community plan Implications</b>	The positive activities and health inequalities funding will both help deliver the council's corporate outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communities feel safe and are safe.</li> <li>• People are able to lead healthy lifestyles</li> <li>• Our residents enjoy a strong sense of community</li> </ul>
<b>Environmental and climate change implications</b>	None identified

## 1. Health Inequality Grants

- 1.1** Gloucestershire County Council allocated a budget of £40,000 to Cheltenham Borough Council in 2014/15 to allocate to local health inequality projects. Cabinet on 11 November 2014 allocated £20,213.50 to four projects. This report sets out how the remaining £19,786.50 will be allocated.
- 1.2** The remaining funds were allocated via a small grants round that went live on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2014 and was publicised via a media release and communications via GAVCA. The closing date was 19<sup>th</sup> January 2015. In total, 6 applications were received totalling nearly £23,000.
- 1.3** On 20 January, an assessment panel comprising the Cabinet Member Healthy Lifestyles, Angela Gilbert from GAVCA and Richard Gibson from the Commissioning Division met to assess the applications and make recommendations to the Cabinet Member. The panel made a number of recommendations about the funding that are shown on the attached table – appendix 2.
- 1.4** It is therefore recommended that £18,808.10 of the health inequalities grant be allocated to five projects as set out in the appendix.

<b>Report author</b>	<b>Contact officer: Richard Gibson</b> <b>Strategy and Engagement Manager</b> <b>richard.gibson@cheltenham.gov.uk,</b> <b>01242 235354</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	1. Risk Assessment 2. Funding Recommendations
<b>Background information</b>	

The risk				Original risk score (impact x likelihood)			Managing risk				
Risk ref.	Risk description	Risk Owner	Date raised	Impact 1-5	Likelihood 1-6	Score	Control	Action	Deadline	Responsible officer	Transferred to risk register
CD.2 -	If division does not put proper controls in place for the management of small grants funds, then we run the risk of funds being used inappropriately or even fraudulently	Strategy and Engagement Manager	March 2010	3	2	6	Reduce	implement and monitor small grants protocol	March 2014	Strategy and Engagement Manager	Commissioning Division
<p><b>Explanatory notes</b></p> <p><b>Impact</b> – an assessment of the impact if the risk occurs on a scale of 1-5 (1 being least impact and 5 being major or critical)</p> <p><b>Likelihood</b> – how likely is it that the risk will occur on a scale of 1-6 (1 being almost impossible, 2 is very low, 3 is low, 4 significant, 5 high and 6 a very high probability)</p> <p><b>Control</b> - Either: Reduce / Accept / Transfer to 3rd party / Close</p>											