I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

The traffic congestion on Church Road is already very bad with room for a single lane of traffic - this will be made far worse with the proposed development.
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

1. Most households have at least one car and very often two so this would mean many thousands of more vehicles driving on to Sudeley Road.

2. Air Pollution levels already break EU level standard for Church Road/Sudeley Road in winter months.

3. Traffic will swell Church Road figures as motorists attempt to bypass the Abbey bottleneck at Moor End Park Road Lights and Bath Road. Leckhampton village (Church Road) cannot cope with any more vehicles.

4. CBC must look again at alternative opportunities including a new site for Chelt F.C. Stadium near the Racecourse and use Whaddon Road to build houses inside the town instead of Kidnappers Lane/Sudeley Road. N.S. Rail travel to Racecourse Station will be possible via Broadway line and CBC eager to work towards.

Name [redacted]
Address [redacted]
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: The roads surrounding my home in Lyford way, Leckhampton are such that whenever I leave by car I have to enter Church Road. I find that access to Leckhampton Road is extremely difficult at peak times and not easy at other times... I do not believe that the suggestions made in the application will resolve this difficulty. Additional cars from the new houses will only make the problem worse.
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(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
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(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
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I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I feel this is only the beginning of building an urban area between Cheltenham and Shurdington. As the amalgamation of which is long term undesirable - the area would become a dormitory for Bristol, Birmingham, Swindon...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
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I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overloading and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(e) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(f) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

(f) I am personally affected by the risk of flooding.
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ENVIRONMENT
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Just the other day there was an accident on Shurdington Road causing it to be closed. It caused total mayhem throughout Cheltenham as a driver it took me over 1 hour to get from Treetops Drive to London Road. Can you imagine what it will be like with all those added houses plus the pollution.

I do hope Cheltenham council is well insured for all the claims that will be coming in.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work, they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I, my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: The roads in question are already overloaded. Any more traffic will no doubt lead to accident fatalities. Myself and my family use the fields in question a lot. Please do not destroy them.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds: 13 DEC 2013

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I very greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

Leckhampton Hill should stay green for landscape and history - it was where the people of the Stone Age lived and it should be stay green in the future.
34a Pilley Lane  
Leckhampton  
Cheltenham  
Glos. GL53 9ER  
12/12/2013

APLICATION REF.No. 13/01605/OUT

Dear Sir/ madam,

Planning applications should NOT even be considered in respect of the countryside on the lowest slopes of the Cotswold escarpment - Leckhampton to Shurdington. I propose a ruling: That future applications in respect of this Green belt land are simply not allowed - for the reasons below - and many others.

Green belt land ( and AONB ) - everyone has forgotten that land given this status in the past MUST remain green & UNSPOILT.

The woodlands, streams/wetlands and ancient hedgerows are just too precious to be lost!

These habitats - are HOME to many species of wildlife - fauna and flora and must not be destroyed for ANY (£) reason.

ALL of these ANIMALS & PLANTS have as much right to this land as we have. In situations like this they are of such small consideration - yet we all recycle & talk about reducing our carbon footprint! Building more unnecessary housing is the priority - WHY? - I think I know the answer - £ - is it not!

Enclosed is a recent edition of 'Home Buyer' from the Echo - so we need even more houses for sale - Do we really?

There has been almost total opposition to the possible destruction of this GREEN BELT LAND. You are not listening.

If you let building go ahead on this land I hope you can live with yourself.

All of you PLEASE THINK LONG AND HARD.