13/01605/OUT Land at Leckhampton

Representations

Batch 6

Name Ref: Planning App	lication 13/01605/OUT
Address BRYNHYFRYD. FARM LANIE LECKHAMPTON. GH.	ELTENHAM.
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	Farith I.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:	Read 1 1 NOV 2013
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is pre- permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.	meture and must not be

- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: Mave these planner taken into account
the ground around here that gets well to study
water lagged. Since they list these houses at the
back of my garden the end of the farther is
a bog when it rains & it can only get write will
more a more rancrete, & the traffic is already fretty
home a more rancocke of the traffic is already fretty.
,

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

Nam			Ref: Planning	Application	13/01605/OL	JΤ
Addr	ess 27 warren close cha	zyl-e	Maur	SLSI	3 HW	

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Reed - 8 NOV 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Ν	а	r

Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address 58 ALMA ROAD, CHELTENHAM, 6451 31

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I-am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
THOUGHT OUR "GREENBETT" WAS SUPPOSED TO BE
PROTECTED!

It is very important to respond on the proposed development. You can use	this tear oπ slip ir you wish
Nam	g Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 65a Morena alsout, Chelt 6	GL53 OEW
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	i.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grou	nds: 54 11 NOV 7818
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections of	n future housing need in

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: 500 JCS ansultation - comments
applicable here, too. Make our lives stressful, noise and pollured and ugly mw.
and polluted and ugly mo.
Build build build etc etc until our græn and pleasant land isn't either of these thing thou did we allow the fate of our environment to fall
and pleasant land isn't either of there thing
How did we allow the fate of our environment to fall
into such greedy grasping hands? So sad.

It is very important to respond on the proposed development. You can use this tear off slip if you wish

A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2	
Name	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Addre	SS 19 TRECLATIOS CLOSE LECKHAMPTON
	e, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)
l wisi	to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:
(a)	Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

	Nr.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.	_
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.	∋ .
Other comments:	
I agree with all these points.	
I agree with all these points. The roads are going to be horrific with extra traffic.	
······································	
	:
	1
	F

Nam	Ref: Plar	nning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address LY DJEHAM CLOSE	MULDES HILL	CHELL
(include, delete or modify the following state	ments as appropriate)	
I wish to object to the proposed developm	ment on the following o	grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:

Name	Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 92 FARMFIELD ROAD, WARDEN HILL	CHELTENHAM
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate	
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following	a arounde:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) #/ my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:

Name	Ref: Planning App	lication 13/01605/OUT
Address 62 CANTERBURY	WALK, WARDEN	HILL

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(e) t≠my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill. Other comments:	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
Other comments:	in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
	Other comments:

	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 6 ST ALBANS CLOSE	LARDEN HILL, CHELTENHAM GLSIBDA
(include, delete or modify the following statement	its as appropriate)
I wish to object to the proposed development	t on the following grounds:
Cheffennam, this proposed development is unne	s and ONS projections on future housing need in ecessary. The application is premature and must not be neertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, operly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.	
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case m in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.	nade
Other comments:	
	•••
	•••
	•••
	•••
	• • • •

Name	es 16 renoing 12 Chest Grasger
(inclu	de, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)
l wis	n to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:
perm	Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in enham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be itted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, oling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
	and the second s

- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:

Name	Ref: Planning Appli	
Address 49 Church Road	Leckhaupten,	Chellenham
(include, delete or modify the following stateme	ents as appropriate)	
I wish to object to the proposed developmen	nt on the following grounds:	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

¥ . .

(d) queue	l am pers es and poll	sonally affect ution that wo	ed / deeply could result from	oncerned by n the propos	the health and ed developme	l accident risk nt.	s from the traffic
(e) in the amen	LWWH an	d Shurdingto	n Concept P	lan for prese	rving the land	as a Local Gr	support the case ma een Space for its ckhampton Hill.
Other	r comments	s:		****			
				,			
			•••••				
******	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	
					***************		•••••

Name . Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 12 TAYBERRY GROVE, GL51 3NF.
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:
(a) Civen the evidence from the 2014

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) que	I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic eues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) in t am Ott	the standard standard fields for represting Letrongly support the case made

Name	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address LIMERLIN WAY, LECKHY	IMPTON CHELT GL53 OLT
(include, delete or modify the following statements as	

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Raced 1.1 NOV 7013

-	
	(d) I am personally affected fdeeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	(e) I / ray stably greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
	Other comments:

. . .

Name		Ref: Planning Application	13/01605/QU

Address 11, THE LONES LECKHAMPTON, CHELTENHAM GLS3 OPU

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected \overline{I} deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.	
(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.	
Other comments: Please le examine the situation - join	
the queuer on the Sourdington Rd and	
along Church Road Try and book an	
appointment for medical attention at	-
The Rock hampton Surgery THEN magne	, 20
What May my the man was the ma	æ
like with over 1075 new inhabitants.	
their can you expect anyone to coree with	h

Na	nning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 95 Pilley &	rescont, GLS3 9ES
, , ,	owing statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheftenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
······································

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Name		
Address	lete or modify the following statements as appropriate) the evidence of	
(include da	Ref: Planning Applic	tien de
I wish 4-	ete or modify the following at 153 0.TH	13/01605/OUT
(a) op	ject to the proposed described as appropriate)	******
Cheltenham	ject to the proposed development on the following grounds: this proposed development on the following grounds:	***********
permitted unti	this proposed development on the following grounds:	

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would created by this development together with the other proposed development. South of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic

Tel 11 NOV 2013

	eeply concerned by the health and accidentations are suit from the proposed development.	traffic
		ant risks from the training
	uh and accid	Jetti Horra
	and by the health and	do
	- any concerned by "I dovelopment.	the case made
T H I Horted O	eeply ootho proposed devolop	- transity support the out
W F personally allected	scult from the prop	Stronging Space for its
(d) that would be	fields for recreation	Local Green Space Hill
(u) and pollution that	the Leckhampton note ing the land as a	Lackhampton I III.
queues and P	eeply concerned by the health and according to the proposed development. It is the Leckhampton fields for recreation. It is concept Plan for preserving the land as a cape, wildlife, history and impact on views	s from Leonard
family greatly to Co	ancept Plan ior Proposed impact on viola	
(a) Thy land shurdington Co	stidlife history and in	
(6) LIMMH and Sildian landers	ape, Wilding,	*********
in the Living footpaths, landsor		
-manity value, lookpur		
Silieuri		*********
onts'		
Other comments:		
Office		

********		*****
********	******	
444.	***********	

Name		
rianie -		
A -1 +	dify the following statements as appropriate)	
Address MILLER	Ref. Diag.	
	TLL HOLLIE	Annlinge
(include	J. LECKHAMAN	Typication 13/01605/OUE
""Cidde, delete or mo.	die	_ 1009/001
1001 11101	uity the following at a CHE	LTENCLIA
Wish to object a	statements as -	""" THU (1/ K) C
object to the	e proposal . as appropriate)	76.55.706
(a)) o:	Proposed development	
Given the evide	the follows	
Cheltenham "Livide	ince from the 2044	da.
Cheltenham, this propo	e proposed development on the following ground proposed development ground proposed development ground ground proposed development ground g	us;
Dermitod	OCU DAVAJAM	

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church (c)` Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

		ffic
		take from the training
	H deeply concerned by the health and according to the deeply concerned by the health and according to the deeply concerned by the health and according to the health according to the health and according to the health and accor	-ident risks nom a
	the health and acc	2100
	and by the means.	
	Lidealy concerned by Lidevalonment.	made
_uv_affected	I deepiy or " a proposed develop	port the case made
A PORCOUNTY TOUR	the property	Letronaly Support and
(d)) lallipero that would	d result "o".	I Strong a Chace for its
-nd pollution that would	H deeply concerned by the health and of the deeply concerned by the health and the deeply concerned by the health and as the Leckhampton fields for recreation.	- Local Green Space
Camplies and policial	the Lackhampton north the land as	a Local Champion Hill.
quodus	he the recition the land and and and	- from Leckhallipion
family greatly var	H deeply concerned by the health and the discount from the proposed development. The the Leckhampton fields for recreation. The Concept Plan for preserving the land as a lacape, wildlife, history and impact on view lacape.	VS HOLL LOV
(a) If my landington	Coucebring Caron and Impacrous	
((e) Charle and Shurdinglon	" " wildlife history and "	
the I WWIT and	ISCADE, WILLING	
In the Ethic footnaths, land	1300F = 7	
onity value, 1000path		
Silleting		
Other comments:		
Other Comments.		
Office, a		
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
11111		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

	**********	*******
•		
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*******

		=

Name .	
Address OKRYNARBOR, LECK/	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- GR 53 906 Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Dead 11 NOV opra

/ (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic	
queues and pollution that would be althoughton fields for recreation. I strongly support the	
amenity value, rootpatris, terraining	
other comments: Other comments: Other accidents occur (as they occasionally do) as the AHT/ Crickley Hell, traffic has to be diverted dem hecknownston Hell.	
do) au the Att denu Leckhampton Helling	
This causes gridlock at plan rew duelophent	
to be diverted demi Leckhamplon Hell. This courses gridlock at place times and their houses of estra cars from rew divelopment. would exact bate the problem.	

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICA 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18 th OCTOBER (BUT pro	
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Lecki	hampton Green Fields
	•••••••••
Pleare ree enclared	

]
Name Address 49 Church Kd Obelterlan GL5 3 OPF	Ref. 13/01605/OUT

Name	Ref: Planning Appli	cation 13/01605/OUT	
Address 49 Church K	and Leckhaupten,	Chellenham	
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)			
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:			

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

7

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) w my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Le	ckhampton Green Fields
1. TRAFFIC PROBLEMS A MAJOR CONC	
2 HEALTH OF RESIDENTS PLACED	
3. SAKER OF OVERLOAD ON PUBLIC	SERVICES:
Name	Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Address 26 MORKND ST CHELTENAMY 6153 OF H	

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House	Application on Leckhampton Green Fields
1) This application is premotive as	in Gre wray is xoonstell,
2) The ceres consist cope with the end adding 1000 exers which a	existing enoppie levels
3) Our poller in will is surface league	
***************************************	2013.
Name	Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Address 85 MOTILEND RO ENELIEN	MAM GESZONB

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields			
I don't wish to love green belt land in this are, the lon of green land			
with implication for loss of form animals and wild life that is a place to see.			
-Idona believe the local nort specm candral with road closures while wax			
undarakon or with extra traffic as traffic already at a standshill at Peak			
hmos. Manquedo the are implication for increased local pollutar increased			
accidents and increased temporthmes.			
- Thundratear that local impostmentic able large with married corporty - schools			
local moural and amorphy services (ourprior/hopatals). Mony of those local			
somosalody waring beind sensible capacity.			
Name Ref. 13/01605/00T			
Address S. Walea Unit, Up Hatherley			

Address 11+ CHARLION LANE, CHELIENHAR GL 53 9EA

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.	
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.	
Other comments:	
See atached	

Planning Application Leckhampton Fields ref 13/01605/OUT

This is a quite extraordinary situation. It is obvious why the builders want to proceed asap but why are the JCS people so keen to start before the facts are established and any necessary infrastructure put in place? Do they have a secret agenda?

Numbers of Houses

The number of properties for the area must be clearly established and as many as possible built on brown field sites. We do not need to plan for all houses up to 2031 which is 17 years away; forecasts should be updated gradually over time.

Traffic

CBC traffic people have never been very effective and not having a detailed plan to deal with the situation is par for the course but totally unacceptable. Church Road where residents have to park on the road is already impassable at times and can only get worse.

Schools

School requirements for all ages must be realistically assessed and the schools themselves built as the houses are built. Children need schools from day1 and to leave them without school places is illegal.

Flooding

Difficult to assess but it does seem to occur more frequently. Developers must be required to pay for an insurance bond to cover flooding claims over the next 20+ years. If they are required to put their money where their mouth is then flood prevention schemes are much more likely to work.

Anthony Melville Smith 114 Charlton Lane, Cheltenham GL53 9EA

1 1 Nov 2013

Nan	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address & ROCHESTER CLOSE	CHELTENHAM GL51 301
(include, delete or modify the following statem	ents as appropriate)
I wish to object to the proposed developme	ent on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

The traffic is a great concern on the A46 as a trunk road and its present accident level & the congestion in Bath Road due to the A46 and the Leckhampton Road meeting at the Norwood Arms roundabout.

As a walker with 'Walking For Health Group' using the open space footpaths & enjoying the wild life in the surrounding area, also the views of Leckhampton Hill.

I object to these Proposed development

Name			Ref: Planning Applic	ation 13/01605/OUT
Address	HAZECWELL.	UNDERCLIFFE TCE.	CHELTENHAM,	94531891F

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

From 1.2 NOV 2013

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) IXmy family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
The traffic congestion in the morning on the A46 already
makes in very difficult to ger to appointments eto on
hime. I think businesses will more out of Cheltenham if
spaces, trees or wildlike around Leuhampton of feel there is already too much building in beautiful country side
Spaces, trees & wildlike around Leuhampton & feel there
is already too much building in beautiful country side

Ref: Planning Application		
Address	151)11.T	
(Include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriets)	nov 1. 2 Nov 2013	
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:	07 7 Z NUV 201 3	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: Church Rd is afroady at a Stand Still for Sereval hours even day the pollution is a health-hazard as he cans are only feet away from our dows a windows. The green bell is essential and can not be un-built on once book.

Name Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT	
Address T. Kochester Close Dander HIII Chelle 1 GLSI	
incomy the following statements as appropriate)	<i>></i> -7
I Wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:	
Cheltenham, this proposed development is	
permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport,	
// \ _ .	

- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / Amy family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Like Like American Area Successful to Like American Development.

A Leas are the Landscape of the Local Control of the Local Control

the very important to respond on the proposed development. You can use this tear	off slip if you wish
Name Ref: Planning Applica Address 2) Juncoln Ave: Warday Hull Cle Via Cita	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future hereited until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, tra	ousing need in ture and must not be ffic and transport.
(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other papelication offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.	proposed developments the planning

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

- (d) $\sqrt{}$ I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
- (e) / I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Affordable housing should be a proporty-but should be durit not on green field sites but on some of the be built not on green field sites but on some of the large closed-down brildings in the town centre. I have sites already have water, power supportes such sites already have water, power supportes and circumage facilities; and are something of an eyesore in their present run-down state. Knock these livedury down and build on the sites. Also, the threat building down and build on the sites. Also, the threat of flighting into warders that is a contral factor.

Name .	Ref: Planning Applied Lack HAMPION GASS	
Address HILLARY ROA	Ref: Planning Appli	cation 13/01605/OUT
the fo	llowing state	74 7
a a jour to the propose	9d develonment	
permitted until the JCS is finalise	the 2011 census and ONS projections on future leopment is unnecessary. The application is promoted and the leopment.	hausing need in

- Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning
 (c) The suggestions made in the continuous
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the house and pollution that would result from the proposed degree and pollution that would result from the proposed degree in the Leckhampton fields for in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and in Other comments:	r recreation. I strongly support the the land as a Local Green Space for its apact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:	NG PERMISSION = DURELY
No INFRASTRUCTOR - MOTERA	,*
W. W	***************************************

***************************************	***************************************

Name	
Address 2 WIEKUW WAY (include, delete or modify the following statements of	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
(include, delete or modify the following statements as	LECCENTUM TONT
I wish to object to the proposed development on (a) Given the ovidence from the second or the secon	the following and 1 2 NOV 2013
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecess	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
Other continents.

Name .	D1111111111111111111111111111111111111
	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT CHELTENHAM GL539LX
finclude, delete or modify the following statements	s as appropriate)

, wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Reed 12 NOV 2013 Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic

queues and pollution that would research (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for pre- in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for pre-	serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a Local Green opage to a serving the land as a local Green opage to a serving the land as a
Other comments:	***************************************
••••	

Name	********		
Address 24. 100 R Z (include, delete or modify the follo	Ref: Plann	ing Application 13/01605/	OUT
(include, delete or modify the follo	Wing statements on The Line	TEWHAM-GL	530#A
and delicer to the proposed	development on the con-	i	i
(a) Given the evidence from the Cheltenham, this proposed develo	ne 2011 census and ONS projections pment is unnecessary. The applications	on future housing posts:	2013
permitted until the Icc:	Principles uninecessary. The application	The Desirability of the control of t	

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

77	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for
	Other comments: Ourse proposed Evelopments on the above growns.
	Ticked where I personally an chiefly conserved.

Nam Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT Address SL SHURD INGTON (include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must proper permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church (c) Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by to queues and pollution that would result from the propose	to for recreation. I strong a chace for its
in the LWWH and Shares and scape, wildlife, history and amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and	M 400F

Name	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Address	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

VINEKIES CLOSE LECKHAMPTON (include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing needing Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic

	- u- troffic
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health a	and accident risks from the trailio
and concerned by the health a	mu accident tres
arconally affected / deeply condemns accord develops	nent.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health of queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development and pollution that would result from the proposed development of queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development of the proposed of	t amount the case made
guoues and pollution that would reserve	ation. I strongly support the salite
due to the Leckhampton fields for redis-	and an allocal Green Space for its
(a) I / sev femily greatly value the Lan for preserving the lat	no as a Local Standard Hill.
(e) Shurdington Concept Platt to properly and impact of	n views from Leckilampion)
queues and pollution that would result to queues and pollution that woul	12 / all west frame
amonity value, footpaths, landscape,	To development man.
(e) I / ray family greatly value the Leckhampton holds in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the lar in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the lar amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact of amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact of amenity value.	W.C. Marine
slammy per	Dinner Steller
Other comments	hand many was
of held held held held held held held held	- L let L the reasons
Fr anely present the	July 19 Shirt Mile.
1. Floring 1-1 I A WITTO WARD WIN	M.W.1.6
baled in the Mile many share	1.2 andundon to
Nestania de la constanta de la	Me semination ,
M. It apportion summer way	Il I la it is well
the stille in the same of the same	hay to My Mandalina
of exist the	
(e) 1/my family greatly value the Lectular for preserving the latin the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the latin the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the latin amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact of the green footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact of the green footpaths. The green factors of the green footpaths and the last 20 years for the green footpaths and the last 20 years for the green footpaths. It will be an an and the last 20 years for the green footpaths and the last 20 years for the green footpaths and the last 20 years for the green footpaths and the last 20 years for the green footpaths.	(/
Same for	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

	Name . Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
	Address 242 OCA 1Statt Kand GL539A+1
	(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)
	wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:
/	Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport; and schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
1/	south of Cheltenham would created by this development together with the other proposed developments application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems
/	(ɛ) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

I am percently affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) L/ my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: a understand there is a risk of flooding, despite the developers' draining flan

Address 331 OLD BATH KOAD, CHELTENHAM, GL

: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

1980 F. C. 1982 C. Color

	am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic and pollution that would result from the proposed development.	
in the L' amenity Other o	I my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made NWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill. Somments: Twaffire conjustion will be intulesable. Its bad now the owner no schools with afacily to cater for new residents and busing furfils miles away to alter Subsub - more troop area is a haner for wildlife Such as deer hours, vales with gran snakes, birds, and, insects as butterflies, all there is no home for nature, THERE WILL BE NO NATURE!	
,		

Name	 Ref: Planning Application 13/0160	5/OUT
Address 146 FOUL	harden Hiti	

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

and 13 MOV 2013

F 250

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Grown and In the LWWH and Shurdington Hill.
other comments: the town centres of Cheltenham
Con restacted to rest of the
Shorra be officiopeet instead of
hard on over best land
building on green beit land

Name	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address ISS SALSBURY AJE CHE	CHENHAM GISLAJDS

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

200 13 NOV 2013

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
,

Name					Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Addres	ss 75	Wood	lands	Road	Chelterhan

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

ad 13 NOV 7813

1-1-5

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) 1 / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:

Nai	•••	Ref: Plannin	g Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 5 THE	LANES, CHELTEN	HAM GLOS	GLS3 OPU
الماسات الماسات	***		

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

sed 13 NOV 2013

EXXXX

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case mad in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:

•	
Nar Ref: Planni	ng Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 38 NORWICH DRIVE GLS13MG	
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	***************************************

- I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

 (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 cappus and ONC.
- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

ENVIN --

- (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
- (e) #7 my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for préserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I HAVE RECENTLY CONGRED CONTINUALLY
FOR 2 MONTHS, MY 3RD EPISODE OF CONCHING

IN 16 MONTHS OF LIVING HERE THE ONLY WAT TO
GET TO LECKHAMPTON SURGERY IS ALONG THE

SHURDINGTON RD, EITHER WALKING OR BY CAR, EXCEPT

WALKING UP SALISBURY AVENUE & THROUGH TO THE PARK

VIA FOOT & CYCLE PATH - TOO FAN FOR MOST IN WANDENHILL POLLUTION AFFECTS DRIVERS MORE THAN PEDESTRIANS! TRUST HE I A DOCTOR" BBC 1.)

N	2	r

Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address ! Inches delate and the second secon

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in 2013 Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

To Chelkenhum Courcil Flanning Doel, Monicipal affices

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amonity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
I AM REALLY WORRED ON THE OFFICE
Other comments: I HE WY CAMILY & The POLLUTON PARTICULATES IN OUR LUNGS FROM
POLLUTION PARTICULATES IN OUR LUNGS FROM
CHAUST OF SO MANY LORDIES VANS & CARS
on A46 BY MY HONE, MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPALITY
12 NOV Z013
COUNTER
K+:47.

Nam
Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address F-PO-14 UI D-1 0
Address KERSEY The REAR BUNGALOW 48 SHURDINGTON RD 6153 OJE
(include delete an arrange to a second of the control of the contr
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)
Lyich to chicate o

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds: Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport,

schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

1 4 1 5 MAY 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
(e) I / the family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the
amenity value, too pairs, landsdaps, the amenity value, too land the man of his relationship
Other comments: Ore of the very impartant that
Olelelan is the easy access to
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land on views from Leckhampton Hill. amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill. Other comments: One of the very impact and the country side that Clebelan is the easy acess to the country side that is fast discrepeasing under more more buildings
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Name	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/O		
Address 62 Mos	and box Road, the Italian, RIJ3 057		
	ne following statements as appropriate)		
I wish to object to the pro	posed development on the following grounds:		

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: This application is ludicious! Traffic levels
are already at an all time high Air pollution is
inaccoptable and school places are hard to come by.
The local residents water the arm bouch a Both.
wall, your, willife, all are us so much of come
and are part at the charm of leckhaupten where
will all the surface water or please don't make us
a concrete juple. This is not needed or wanted.
a concrete jungle. This is not needed or wanted.

Nan	le
Add	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT ress. Lander Hill Estate GL51 3DN
(incl	ide, delete or modify the following statements
l wis	h to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:
(a)	Given the evidence from the 2014

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

4 12 1 7 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
queues and pollution that would recent the case made queues and th
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving in the Leckhampton Hill. amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill. Other comments: When I moved here in 1961 I had views he lackhampton Hill. Ackhampton Hill. Ackhampton Hill. New I cannot be the hill die of the lackhampton Hill. New I cannot be the hill die of the lackhampton Hill.
Lackhamplan Har I vacan barnelound.
1 km 1 d

Name	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address JOR PLYT, 162 L	ECKHAMPION RD
(include, delete or modify the following statem	nents as appropriate) CHCTGO (HAV) ent on the following grounds: GL52 DH
I wish to object to the proposed development	ent on the following grounds: GL52WH
() () () ()	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am <u>personally affected</u> / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: I AM 86 AND VOLU WELRIED ABOUT CLOSSING THE ROAD TO THE SHOP IF IT GETS BUSIER - I PIND IT DIPTICAT NOW

Name				-		
				. Ref: Planning Appli		
Address	325 orb	SATH RD	CH	RITENHOM	62	539AJ

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

-	
	d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
/ ((e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
(Other comments:

Name	····· Ref: Plan	ining Application 13/01605/OUT
(include, delete or modify the following state	= LECKHAMPTON	S CHELTENHAM COS
3 tollowing State	IIIEIIIS AS Annropriato)	
i wish to object to the proposed develope	ment on the fell-	_
Cheltenham, this proposed development is a permitted until the JCS is finalised and the b schooling and other infrastructure have been	ensus and ONS projection unnecessary. The application ig uncertainties over house properly resolved.	ns on future housing need in ition is premature and must not be sing need, traffic and transport,
south of Cheltenham would created by this application offers no solution to the grave tra	development together wi us traffic queues in the pe	an periods. The planning
(c) The suggestions made in the applicat Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Pa	ion for preventing traffic o	overload and gridlock in Church ey work they will cause big traffic
		D. 1 4 =

Red 1 3 NOV 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
amenity value, tootpains, landscape, william,
Other comments:
Other comments:

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address & MILL ROAD, LECKHAMPTON, 6153 OHE

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill. Other comments:
Other comments:
Office continuous and

Name		
		Ref: Dlammin

Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT Address > Hamp TON CLOSE, LECKHAMPTON, CHECT. GLS13DZ (include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic

d 10 NOV 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made	
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton	
Other comments:	
	,

B.1			
Name		 Ref: Planning Application	4010400-1
	•	 Ref: Planning Application	13/01605/OUT

Address HOLLY LODGE CHURCH RD LECUHAMPTON: GL53 ØRS (include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

1 1 23

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I can't bolowe the mean action. Results included a can't be trusted just an excust the bring in manay includes and last an excust deasing the land action. A can't be trusted just an excust deasing the bring in manay includes a function of each that a be about the bring in manay includes a function of the bring included and included and included a function of the bring included and included and included a function of the bring included and included a function of the bring

Nami		R

. Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address 5 WESTSIDE PARIC THE REDDINGS, CHETTENHAM, GUSIGRT

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

6.0 1 0 1:0V 2013

_/	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.	222
	(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case madin the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.	е
•	Other comments:	
,	*	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	,
		4

Name		Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address	s 9 NOUSE CLOSE	CHECTENHAM, GUZ OND

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

and 1.3 NOV 2013

· 第75人表现的图象大大概要一个456

	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
† <u>.</u> ·	Other comments.
	Other comments:
	······································

*	***************************************

["	
./	
!	

a.		
₹		
Nar	1	

...... Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address 54 CABRNARVON ROAD, CHETTOUTAM

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road. J 17 POV 2813

	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
•	(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
•	Other comments:
•	
:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
٠.	
, .	

)

Name	Ref: Planning Application 1	3/01605/OUT
ک Address	CAPINALVAN ROSO, CHELTENHAM GLJ	1.37.
,	or modify the following statements as appropriate)	
 I wish to object	to the proposed development on the following grounds:	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Ghurch Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

mid (a kil)

		(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	•	(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
1.		
	-	Other comments:
	•	
	٠.	
·		
٠		***************************************
	•	
	•	
ŕ.	·	1
. 1		

Name

Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address 54 CAERNARVON RD CHELTONAM GL SISJP

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Reod 1

	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
	Other comments:
	,
÷	
•	
•	
-	
•	
•	

•		
Nam	e Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT	
Addı	ess 1) SILLWITHY, UP HATHELLIT (HIG TENHAM GLOS GILS) 3/A	١
(incl	ude, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	
l wis	h to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:	
. (a)	Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

ical 13 NOV 2013

CANADA CALANA

	•			
	:	(:	(d) queue:	I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic and solution that would result from the proposed development.
			· in the i	I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made. WWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its by value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
. *		•	Othor	
, -	. j 🕟		Diller	comments:
, 4		•		
	*	٠,	********	
	٠,			
٠,	•			
,	. :			
	•	V .	». . Энтэхэээ	
. '				
ŀ ,	•			
	•	-	********	
			:	
١.,		v f		***************************************
•	•	-		
٠.				
		. *	• .	
	_	. .		
1		· One	•	
	*			
Į				
1				

	Name Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
	Address S Westside Paric, The Meddings Chelterhorn, GUSI GRT
ı.	(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)
•.	I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheitenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

-4 1 3 NOV 2013

÷			(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	. 1		(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
•	<u> </u>		Other comments:
	•	•	Carlot Comments.
. 4		•	
	:	٠.	
*	•		
	1	*	
	÷.	1.	
	•	•	
		÷ :	
*	*		
		- ' *	

Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address 9 Novise ave Chellerton, GLSJONQ

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will dause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Test 11 1 110V 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the translation that would result from the proposed development.	affic
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the cin the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton H	'4 _
Other comments:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

	~,

•

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Lecknampton Green rields
Traffic conjection will be intalevable. It had row.
Insufficient schools by fulie copacity. This could
Insufficient schools for future copacity. This could inhalm trousporting pupils to alter schools coursing
none tradition through
The risk of blooding is very real, especially with
The risk of flooding is very real, especially with
hukhanular hields should be evaleded as a
Lacal GREEN SPACE of special community value
to existing residents of Wellestron.
If there is no home for rating there will be so nature.
Name Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Address 35 00 Dath Road 10 hov 2013
Culledon GLSZGNJ.

		Ref: Planning Ap	oplication 13/01605/OUT
(include, delete or mode I wish to object to the (a) Given the evide Cheltenham, this propermitted until the JCS schooling and other in (b) The traffic consouth of Cheltenham application offers no second of the suggestion of	dify the following statements as a proposed development on the control of the con	he following grounds I ONS projections on following The application is ainties over housing new resolved. I ment together with the queues in the peak pealems. I preventing traffic overloants and even if they with the peak peants and even if they with the peants are provided to the peants are peants are provided to the peants are peants	premature and must not be eed, traffic and transport, other proposed developments eriods. The planning
	and the second 	angun ayan kangan ayan kangan a wekenyan	

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
Other comments: The quantity as houses proposed is negstoo high for he area to sustain. Traffic would be environment. There are a constain and impact on the
environment. There are insufficient decordery
environment. There are insufficient decording school places in healthampton already thou can this not be seen as a problem with another princip school?

	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Name.	as appropriate)
Address	as appropriate)
(include, delete or modify the following	on the following grounds: and ONS projections on future housing need in
MISH to object to the t	and ONS projections on luture riodsg and must not be

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work the work the increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(4)	
(d) I am personali	y affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
Quelies and native	y affected / deeply concerned by the
and pollution t	that would result from the proposed development.
(0)	rom the proposed dovolars according risks from the traffic
(e) If my family or	cofficient, and the training t
in the Lianaria	eally value the Leckhampton Sall La
"" "" E LANNAH and Shu	Idinaton Conservation Helds for recreation Later I
amenity value foots-u	dampion Concept Plan for preserving the concept Strongly support the case
value, lootpati	reatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made and scape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
0.11	
Other comments.	- Impact off views from Leckhampton Life
The state of the s	······ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —

· ·	

•	N

Dot Pla	anning Application 13/01605/OUT
,, Rei, Pid	V =
Name	HAM
10 C Palan HUARUS WALLER	**************************************
Address 4.9. Salisbury Number of the following statements as appropriate) (include, delete or modify the following statement on the following	
trained delete or modify the following statements as a fallowing	a arounds:
(include, delete or modify the following statement on the following	g ground

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic over pad and the later in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big raffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road. ENVIRONMENT

- (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
- (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: My kus bend & required with along Changrove Lare for exercise when some foot paths & lields are too muddy on unsuitable for walting who see numerous walters with purphabairs wheel chars & dogs doing the some thing. There is seentry with boots for walter walter particularly with boots for walter walter pollution live exercise in the pollution live exercise in the pollution live exercise is should not be denied to a large number of people

	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
	Ref: Planning Application to a
Nam	CLOURSE TERSHIRE GLS1 345
MARDEN AILL, C	HELTENHAM, GLOUCES, CARRELL
Address It NORWICH DRIVE, WARDEN HILL, C	annonriate)

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(a)	
(d) I am personally affected 7 deeply cond	cerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
queues and pollution that would result from the	he proposed dovolgence is
(e) /-my family greatly unit 4	
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concent Blow	mpton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife	for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
Other Control of the	for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
	UNGY FOR MY HOUSE IN THE STATE OF
DON'T WANT THE DUALITY OF	DNEY FOR MY HOUSE IN WARDEN HILL 50
	KONMENT AND THE
10 BE LOWERED BY SPRAWLING LOG	Shart age and a second
	MINION TRACELL PARTS
	VILVEIFE'S MATHON I IN D
INCREASED DANGED DEAGLED OF	V AN AREA THAT WAS DELUGED ONLY
OF THEODOING	V AN AREA THAT WAS DELUGED ONLY
SIX YEARS AGO	
***************************************	3/11/2013

	_

	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT	
(include, delete of friodity states of the proposition) (a) Given the evidence from the condition of the proposed despermitted until the JCS is finally schooling and other infrastruction of the traffic congestion of the condition of the proposition of the pro	accidents and even if they work tries will cause by	

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and acqueues and pollution that would result from the	
. That would result from the proposed development	restriction the traffic
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for regreation, in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on view Other comments:	
Other comments:	From Leckhampton Hill.

***************************************	***************************************

	f

Name	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address 24., GI.F.F.A.P. WAY. 4	ECKHAMPTON, GL53 OPP
(include, delete or modify the following statem	
I wish to object to the proposed developme	ent on the following grounds:
	isus and ONS projections on future housing need in

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gride the increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

 Read 1 4 NOV 2013

AND
(d) I am personally affected seeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: I AM ALSO CONCERNED THAT THIS SCHEME
WILL FURTHER INCREASE THE ALREADY HIGH RISK OF FLOODING,

- Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

(d) I am personally affected / day 1
 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic (e) I / my family greatly value that it is in the proposed development.
(e) 1 / my family greatly value the Least
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton its
amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact as a Local Green Space for its
amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Joseph with Glass I- to the will and with Challeshan
Other comments: Aufwithe development and end with Chellerhan Conurbation Please leave some beauting.
Please loave some has
on the and
enovernment and use up ugly areas, grey areas for destroy
destroy
destroy

	Anniication 15/010
Nam	ements as appropriate) ment on the following grounds:
Address	ements as appropriate)
(include, delete or modify the following state) I wish to object to the proposed develop	oment on the following grounds. census and ONS projections on future housing need in The application is premature and must not be

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport,
- schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved. The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning
- application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems. The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause hig traffic

increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Recd 1 4 NOV 2013

(d) I am personally affected / doort	cerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic the proposed development.
queues and pollution that would read to	cerned by the health and and
queues and pollution that would result from (e) I / my family greatly value the Lead to	the proposed development
In the I MANUL - 1 2 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mnfan C. I
amenity value, footpaths, lands	mpton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
Other Control of the	history and impact on the land as a Local Green Space for its
Other comments:	for fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
	155744444444
*******************************	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
***********	***************************************

***********	410121412001110111111111111111111111111
***************************************	***************************************
***********	***************************************

***************************************	***************************************
*******************************	***************************************
	•

10/04505/01/7	
Address	
Address	
Address	

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridiocs in the application for the ap Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road. ENVIRONMENT

- (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
- (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I was employed by the Cot 6-B in their Degign and Sonstruction Devision at Barnwood Mouresta. I know that Homes for between gonarded pours and some and some large small, and a national power failure resulting in loss of electricity water and gras a Barnelling the Louises proposed by Planning Ufflication 13/01605/out asking to all order enter houses in the country smill be desistating for the world town tay. He froposed development is also a very large flood risk

Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT	
Ref: Planning Appropriate)	Nar
atements as appropriate)	Address
opment on the following grounds. I census and ONS projections on future housing need in	(include, delete or modify the following state) I wish to object to the proposed develop

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport,
- schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

 (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems
- application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

 (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church representation. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Recd 1 4 NOV 2013

(d) I am personally affected / deeply co queues and pollution that would result from (e) my family greatly value the least set.	ncerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic the proposed development.
in the I MANU of Gary value the Leckh	Ampion Salu s
Other comments:	n for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its , history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

		- Application 13/01605/OUT
Committee of the Commit	Ref: Plannin	g Application 13/01605/OUT
Name	Ref: Plannin Ref: Plannin Ref: Plannin CHELTE the following statements as appropriate)	NHAM
Address 3.7.2	2.1. D(2.1	
(include, delete or modify		unds:
wish to object to the pi	roposed development on the following gro	on future nousing modern

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be I wish to object to the propose permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning
- application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems. The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic. increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road. Recd 1 4 NOV 2013

Other comments:		essed development. elds for recreation. I strongly support the cas erving the land as a Local Green Space for it and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
****************		***************************************

*******		***************************************
	************	***********************
***********		**************
***************************************	*******	
********		**************
	******	***************************************
******		***********

*****		**********

 Name Ref: Planning Application	n 13/01605/OUT
15 THZ PANIS (HEZENTITI) GLSO 25L	sing need in 13 re and must not be cand transport, oposed developments e planning

	(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
	Other comments:
	IS A MATOR CONSERV
	THE ICS MUST LOOK AT THE REQUIREMENTS
-	

Name	the state of the s
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Address T. KENE	ILM GARDENS, CHELTENHAM, GLOS GLS3 OJW
(include, delete or mod	dify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gholds in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Over 94% of people were opposed or strongly opposed to the proposed development. It is very important to respond on the proposed development. You can use this tear off slip if you wish (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amonity value, footnaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footnaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments. THE OVER ESTIMATION OF FUTURE HOUSING NEEDS AND THE
INCLUDED TRAFFIC FLOWS WILL CAUSE IMPOSSIBLE PROBLEMS FOR
TRAFFIC MOVEMENT INTHE AREA
I AM APPAULED THAT DESITE METATINGS EVEN THE YEARS, THIS SUBMISSION
IS STILL BEING PENSUED -THE TRAFFIC DENSITY IS ALLERDY TOO HIGH &
AS WE LIVE ON THE ALL , ANY INCLEME WILL MAKE LIFE ON BENEABLE

......

Name Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT	
Address 14, Chatsworth trive, Leckhampton.	
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:	

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments (b) south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Recd 1.5 NOV 2013

ENVIRONMENT

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: On occasions when there has been
ectra traffic through the area due to road
closures the queves have been homendows &
the traffic on Church Rd Leolchamston Rd
was and locked. There is simply no extra
was and locked. There is simply no extra capacity in the road system. This is a residential area that will sifes greatly if the proposal goes
area that will suffer greatly if the proposal goes
ahead.

			0				
	^	/	$C = C \cap C$	lannin	g Application	13/01605/0	UT
Name				J={ J	CHEIT	G151	3611
Address	JAHONIC	A DRIVE,	UT MAIML	KLEY,	UMS LA	4	ِ دِه ٠٠
(include, dele	ete or modify the	following statem	nents as appropr	iate)			

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic (c) increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Recd 1 5 NOV 2013

ENVIRONMENT

•	WH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preservin value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and in	ripact on views from Leckhampton Hill
Other cor	mments:	***************************************
• • • • • • • • • • • •		
	••••••	
••••••		

••••••••		
•••••••		

Nam Address S BRIZEN LANE	Ref: Planning Appl	GLS 3 0 NG
(include, delete or modify the following stater	ments as appropriate)	BUILT
I wish to object to the proposed developm	nent on the following grounds:	Recd 1 5 NOV 2013
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 ce Cheltenham, this proposed development is u permitted until the JCS is finalised and the b	innacaccan/ The additionality bit	211 mmfcu & Programm reservations 1

- schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

 (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

	 I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
	(e) #/ my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
	Other comments:
-	

	Pof: Plann	ning Application	on 13/01	1605/0	JUT
Nam Address .15 SHORT Share	CHETENHAM	GL53 OD	}	BUIŁ	$\overline{\Gamma}$
			Recd 15	NOV	2013
(include, delete or modify the following the hollowing the	evelopment on the following grant one projection	rounas: ns on future ha	ustany Vi	BON	MENT

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing MENT Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

 (d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic (e) I / my family grootly yellow
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Groop State of the Case made
Other comments:AS A DAG HOLD TO THE WAR WORLD HOLD ECKnampton Hill.
ABOUT SCHOOL PROUSION SHOCKS ME WHERE ME THE
NEW RESIDENTS CHILDREN TO BE EDUCATED?
TO THE HOLD IN THE
COMING DECADET IS LITTLY TO SHRINK IN THE
COMING DECADES IF IMMIGRATION IS CURTAILED AS PLANNED SO WHY SO MANY MEN HOWES?

Na	Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT
Address MAMBOUR, FARM LANG, LE	CKHANPTON CHELTENHAM
(include, delete or modify the following statements	as appropriate)
Lwich to chiect to the proposed development of	n the following grounds:

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Recd 1 5 NOV 2013

ENVIRONMENT

(d) I am personally affected / decity associated by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) #/ my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments: WHERE ARE THE LOCAL JOBS TO SUSTAIN THIS
No of Houses, EVERY BODY WILL HAVE TO TRAVEL VIA
THE SHURDINGTON Rd A 46 WHICH IS FULL TO CAPACITY NOW.
TO CAPACITY NOW
A TRAFFIC THROUGH NEW DEVELOPMENT IS CRAZY
BUT STILL HAS TO GO ONTO THE A 46 CPTD) OCK
GRID LOCK

BUILT

Recd 1 5 NOV 2013

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION VIEW LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields
I am very concerned about the impact of this proposed
development on the tramport retnock in this area
of Cheltenham The A46 is already very busy during
the morning and evening rush hour, and cannot take
any additional rehicler la addition Church Road is
becoming more dangerous because of the number of cars
trying to pars vehicler parked on the road It is simply
too dangerous to allow the cars from 650 additional hower
to be added to this situation. This application must be
portponed until the JCS for the area has been finalized and
Name Ref. 13/01605/OUT the
Address 2 HALLAND 190AD, CHECTENHAM GLS3 ODJ associated
Address 2 HALLAND ROAD, CHECTENHAM GL53 ODJ associated and population estimates have been verified.
estimates have been verified.

BUILT

Recd 1.5 NOV 2013

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 house Application on Lecknampton Green Fields
I am very concerned by this proposed development
because if the impact on air grality in the lockhampton
area of Chebenham understand that pollytion
already exceeds EU maximum levels on Church Road
and shurdington load duing the winter months
The additional vehicles from this development will
damage the health of the local population. This proposed
development should only be considered by the planning
committee are the defato JCS has been finalised
so that transport estimates can be carefully cavidened with
Name Ref. 13/01605/OUT all the Ref. 13/01605/OUT of ther
remediate
information.