13/01605/OUT

Land at Leckhampton

Representations

Batch 5
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

Traffic congestion is already very evident in the area. Roundabouts are deteriorating in rainy weather. Flooding is evident in all areas of Leckhampton - as water travels from Leckhampton Hill, it has lying green space become waterlogged.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Encroaching on the countryside. Development will change the nature of character of Cheltenham. It is the onset of urban sprawl. Character of agricultural influence on our town is pulling strotton onto our already stressed services.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ...........................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I strongly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I live in Hornbeam Crescent, I work in Berkeley so I would be considerably affected in commuting to/from work & also generally. I have an allotment between Leckhampton Primary School & Burrow playing fields. I strongly value the fields in & around Leckhampton for its landscape, wildlife, views from the hill.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) One family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: The traffic on A46 is already at capacity in its mornings and having seen the volume of water that drains off the hillside fields I am not convinced by the developer’s plans to prevent flooding.

There are far too many houses in the plan, and of course as usual the main objective is money regardless of the consequences.

REF 13/01605/OUT
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) Deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: If they leave the footpath through to the village and the hill ok. Schooling needs to be looked at both junior and secondary. Can there be a need for traffic management. LWWH all the consult traffic teams to all get round a table and sort out everything before building starts.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) ☑ I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) ☑ I [my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

Shurdington would inevitably become joined to Cheffinsham, and so would Leckhampton and finally the whole valley would be filled with housing as Gloucester continues to spread. Enough is enough.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work, they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
Other comments: Anonymized and redacted personal information has been removed.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:..........................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

[d) As I suffer from COPD, which may or may not have been exacerbated by the present traffic levels in Church Road, I would definitely not want to see traffic congestion increase further.]

[Redacted]
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .............................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally **affected** / **deeply concerned** by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LVWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..................................................
..............................................................
..............................................................
..............................................................
..............................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWC and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ......................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work, they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

No provision for Secondary School placed.

There has already been death on Shurdington Road. This level of congestion can only lead to more lives at risk.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurclinton Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .................................................................
.................................................................
.................................................................
.................................................................
.................................................................
.................................................................
.................................................................
.................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................................
PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

We object to this development. The road infrastructure cannot cope with the vehicles produced by this development. Other.

Developers have recognised this already. There are insufficient senior school places which, as parents, concern us. We regularly walk our daughter through the fields proposed for development & enjoy seeing the animals. This land & its wildlife is enjoyed by many people including us & it should be protected. This development will spoil the character of Leckhampton forever.

This application is premature & should not go before the council until the JES is finalised & transport, earthworks & population estimates are verified.

Name: Mr & Mrs Caraxes
Address: 15, Kidneries Close

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
The green fields are a necessity for this generation and future generations for their well-being and quality of life. We should not leave them a concrete jungle.

Name: [Redacted]

Address: 3 South Court Drive, Leckhampton, Cirencester, GL7 5SU

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Date: 04 Nov 2013
Environment
Dear Sirs/Madams,

I am writing to voice my objections to the proposed development of new homes being built on Leckhampton fields. Reference 13/01605/OUT

As a local resident I frequently travel by car from Warden Hill to Leckhampton and beyond, using the current roads and am aware of the congestion which already exists along these routes. I believe that any increase in traffic would be a massive price to pay to gain new homes in this area. As a cyclist I am aware of the limited road space, and there are no footpaths along some of the ‘back routes’- as there is only just space for two cars to pass as it is. At present it is a relatively safe area for young people to get out on their bicycles, learning independence and getting exercise in a rural setting. This would be lost, I fear. The area is highly valued as a beautiful space as it stands, and should not be developed. It gives access to the countryside and country walks to those living modestly locally, which is of great value and benefit. The walks across the fields and up the hill are a pleasure and should be treasured and preserved. Furthermore, there are other issues of concern to me, such as potential flooding, pressure on over-subscribed schools and provision of other amenities. I am afraid that the need for housing is not great enough for us to lose what we have here.

Yours faithfully,

Rosalind Forster, Mrs

130 Warden Hill Road, Cheltenham, GL51 3EH
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Bath Road which already gets blocked by cars & lorries due to interference from councils trying to improve it. Instead of free flow it stops often during day.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: To take my...grandfather...through the...name...fields...is enjoyable to...both...we...will...join...up...with Churchdown...it is not...good...enough...playing...fields...are...not...the...same...as...a...good...wander...through...God's...creation...FIELDS...The...wild...life...would...also...suffer...Still...what...are...animals...to...our...feelings...where... Profit...is...concerned...Today...18th. (O. all night...Jock...).
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: The government wishes to promote both health mentally and physically, by encouraging all to walk regularly, join walking groups and local 'health walks' is being made a mockery by these proposed developments. In the very areas we use for our welfare.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ...........................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

Too much traffic congestion already on Leckhampton, Bath and Shurdington roads.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I'll conceive plan (Church Road) already a problem for cars trying to pass each other. I have lost two door mirrors and the offending cars never stopped. Shurdington Road gridlock each morning by cars trying to get into Cheltenham.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .................................................................

I agree with all the above and comment that congestion on the A46 (Shurdington Road) has increased every year since we came to Cheltenham in 1975
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I am deeply concerned about flood potential in Warden Hill which is already prone to flooding.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(1) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(2) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(3) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

The Leckhampton fields are much used for recreation and exercise and do... I feel sure... contribute to Government's policies to reduce obesity and promote better health.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

The above says et al,

- 5 Nov 2023
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................
We wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
We are deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the trains.

We strongly support the case made in the Leckhampton Planning Office for the development.

If you absolutely must build more houses, then insist on bungalows only.

Other comments:

The balance of amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

Leckhampton has great value for recreation, fields and scenery.

Decisions made by Town Council are not final. It is my understanding that the Local Green Space for Leckhampton's benefit should be kept.
Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address: 13 Oxford Way, Warmley Hill, Cheltenham, GL51 3HT

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWGH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
We are personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

Let me say my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

Agree with all ABCDE.

Very concerned regarding traffic flow.

I am also concerned about pollution in this area of Leckhampton Hill.

Leckhampton

[Signature]
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

1. It appears the survey is aimed at 15-year-olds.
2. Are you listening to the people of children?
3. Are well-paid local officials just looking in to get the night!
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

The application is far too large - the surrounding roads will become gridlocked - they barely cope with the traffic now!

Where will the senior children continue their education? Some children already go to Gloucester because they cannot rely on Balcarras or Baunside offering them a place.

Decisions are being made by people who do not live here - is that fair?

Name [Redacted] .................................  Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Address 155 LECKHAMPTON ROAD, CHELTENHAM,
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

It seems that the majority of locals object to this planning so why are we not heard?

I believe that the plans are short sighted and purely commercial. The location is beautiful and does not lend itself to mass building. The roads structure will not take it. The A46 is bad enough already.

No plans have been made for additional secondary education. The flood testing I believe to be inaccurate as these fields are continually waterlogged now.

Name: [redacted]
Address: 15 Allenhold Rd GL53 0LX

Date: 30th Nov 2013
Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Comment: Interference with existing roads, resulting in a significant increase in traffic from a small area to an additional village cramped into too small a space on Shuckington Road.

Developers clearly wish to buil new homes with spurious claims to ease through their own self-interested plans.

Name
Address: 1, Pitchford Road, GH 53 9AG

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

I would like to mention that the road infrastructure around Churtonia Rd and Leckhampton Lane is obsoletely "broken" with more vehicles using roads adjacent likely to cause danger to safety risks.

This application should NOT proceed until JCS for the area have been resolved, and having needs I target to have been verified.

Name
Address

Ref. 13/01605/OUT

The Copehalls, Leckhampton Lane
Cheltenham, Gloucester GL51 4XW
Thank you.

As already stated, these are green fields a much needed resource for the numerous walkers and runners and oxygen breathing. Any housing must be sustainable, solar, and recycled water or they will create shortages for existing residents.

There are no jobs in Cheltenham so driving 30 miles or so along very crowded roads will cause and lock.

Name: [Redacted]
Address: 10, Hobby Chase, GL53 0LP
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

Given that the JCS state there is a need for 25,000 homes in the area, how is the infrastructure to sustain so many vehicles spilling out onto A46? The present sewage and power services, doctors, schools, libraries cannot service another 650 houses/1500 (approx) inhabitants.

"Greenbelt" should be Greenbelt, i.e. protected areas to preserve space between towns and villages.

Leckhampton has been my home for over 40 years and once a village. Can you want to fill the green fields between Leckhampton and Shurdington Village. We don’t need them.

Name: [Redacted]
Address: 5 Southeast Drive
Leckhampton
Chelt. GL53 0GU

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Please listen to the residents of Cheltenham because these are the people and voters who will deal with these planning applications for the future.

Ref. 13/01605/OUT

Name [Redacted]
Address 289 Old Bath Rd, Leckhampton, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire GL53 9AN

Date 5 Nov 2013
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I totally agree with the above. Main objections to the planners... applications... Recent flooding on the A46, which resulted in sewage... made it more slow, muddy... more pollution...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/ my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: TO DEVELOP HERE... WOULD BE... BOST... BARBARIC... WENTS... A... COMPLETELY RUIN A BEAUTIFUL AREA... ENDANGER... SO MANY... CHELTENHAM NEEDS TO PROTECT AREAS... SUCH AS THIS... FOR... THE... FUTURE... PUBLIC OPINION SHOWS IT IS EMOTIONALLY AGAINST THESE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Chuch Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

(f) In addition to (d) we feel grave concern for the health and safety of cyclists and pedestrians on the A46 and in all the areas affected. There appears to be no provision for these greenest of road users.

(g) We are troubled that nothing is proposed to encourage the use of public transport, not even a capacious Park and Ride facility on the A46.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:  Our property was severely affected by flooding in 2007 and although not affected now, properties near us continue to experience issues with foul water. The impact of all this building on fields is scary to contemplate, where will all the surface run off go? We are at the bottom of Warden Hill, leave the fields alone - there's a delicate balance which will

...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause bigger traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

End - 6 NOV 2013
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Please see enclosed email sent to

JCS Consultation on 14 Feb 2012

For additional comments, FYI I have yet to receive a reply...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................ ........................................................

WATERFALL (INCLUDING OUR HOUSE) WAS FLOODED

RECENTLY — MORE LECKHAMPTON HOUSING WILL INCREASE THE POSSIBILITY OF MORE FLOODING

........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

Taking today as an example (4 Nov 13) a horrendous accident has created a huge traffic jam in Leckhampton/Shurdington and both roads. No traffic calming could sort that out, and all it means is moving a traffic jam further down the road and creating more rat runs.
Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..............................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected and deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I and my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: It is also gravely worrying that the traffic pollution levels already exceed EU permitted levels in this area and the development proposal would enormously add to that. What about our health? What about our safety? We have already witnessed accidents on the Shurdington Rd. & people pulling out at junctions, desperate to fit in between the massive flow of cars. What about schools for our 2 children? Will they have a place? This proposal has not been rationally considered in our opinion.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am 

personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

Please do not ignore local residents views on this major issue. Politics must be tempered when finalising your conclusions.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work, they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:
- As a local cyclist I'm very concerned about the increased traffic on the A46, the road is already very congested and this will only become worse.
- Lack of schools (specifically secondary), no plan to increase places for potential increase in local population.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I believe the traffic modelling will be based upon false assumptions, i.e. that existing traffic levels can be extrapolated in proportion to the number of households. The existing demographic is weighted towards mature and retired people who make less journeys by car than the working age population that the new housing is targeted towards.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

Too many assumptions to consider development proposal a practical option; highlighted concerns are a lack of Secondary School places plus the calculation used to estimate future employment opportunities (a deeply flawed assumption).
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

✓ (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

✓ (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

✓ (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work, they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: There would be insufficient senior school places available.

It is important to have easily accessible green space for wildlife, healthy and safe exercise and quieter for our society / well-being.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Object to **development on all of these grounds**
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: We have lived in Warden Hill for 54 years (rear house) & we have seen many changes, but none as ludicrous as what is being planned for this area. I am sure the planners etc. have no understanding of the area at peak times on Shurdington Rd. plus flooding in Warden Hill! These ponds!! will be useless! We cannot understand where the jobs!! will be for schools, senior school, primary definitely needed!!
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .........................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWVWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: These proposals are totally unnecessary and unwarranted. They will bring about the total desecration of these green field sites and destroy the character of the villages of Leckhampton, Shurdington and Kenilworth as well as a large part of Hatfield. Vehicle numbers will also increase proportionally. This damaging effort, even done now, while no more harm has been done.
I wish to **object to the proposed development on the following grounds:**

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .............................................

I have seen the traffic in this area triple in recent years. There is already a queue going back over a mile to get into Leckhampton every morning along the Shurdington Road. Further housing in this area will cause further gridlock and increased pollution, and completely spoil the rural surroundings.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

________________________________________________________________________________________

I strongly object to the proposed development as it will spoil a very special corner of Cheltenham. Briefly:

________________________________________________________________________________________
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

End - 6 Nov 2013
(d) I am personally opposed deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation and strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

IT IS A GROSS INJUSTICE, IGNORED BY POLITICIANS FOR FAR TOO LONG,

THAT THE FUTURE OF THIS VALUABLE PART OF CHELTENHAM SHOULD BE DECIDED BY TOWLESBURY BOROUGH COUNCIL
(a) In accordance with the NPPF, the JCS must objectively estimate the housing need for Cheltenham and not exaggerate it. Where there is uncertainty the JCS must use the lower figure and keep land in reserve to respond flexibly if the housing need should turn out to be larger. The JCS must not risk allowing building on the green belt and the Leckhampton fields and then finding too late that this building was not necessary to meet the actual housing need.

(b) In accordance with the NPPF, the JCS must properly address the need for building a strong, competitive economy and must contain a genuine and realistic plan for fostering employment growth and for the transport and other infrastructure needed to support this.

(c) In accordance with the NPPF, the JCS must promote sustainable transport. The housing developments currently proposed in south Cheltenham would have a devastating impact on traffic and completely break the transport system. This is utterly unacceptable.
(a) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the currently proposed developments.

(e) I am deeply concerned over future schooling and the danger that the proposed developments will leave many children without local schools.

Other comments: We have lived in Warden Hill for years and have seen many changes, but none more ludicrous than what you now propose for this area. Churfdonkey Road is gridlocked at peak times (24 hours), flooding is bad here now, we are having heavy snowstorms and with all the concrete etc. with all those houses, these ponds will be useless. We think you estimate is badly flawed and should be vastly reduced which ONS estimates the figure should be 6,070.

Where are the jobs! Schools senior primary.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Undated - 6 Nov 2013
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: We feel that greenfield sites should only be developed for housing as an absolute last resort. They can never be reclaimed. There are still brownfield sites in the town which could be developed (eg. former Home & Garage site, North Place, etc.). The objections concerning traffic congestion lack of school and other amenities are of considerable importance.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWHH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Chipley Hill closed 4/11/13 due to accidents. Our roads (A46) were gridlocked by traffic trying to get to Cheltenham, Gloucester etc. Why can't these houses be built by A40 - Gloucester / Charlton Kings?
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

With a plan that has such far-reaching and dramatic consequences for the Leckhampton area, it seems both negligent and unprofessional not to have given proper thought to its impact on local infrastructures (roads, schools, traffic flow).

It must not be allowed to pass without proper consideration of the points herein.

1/1/2013
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I recently moved to this area because of the beautiful green surroundings of the fields near to wooden hill. Why make an already populated area overcrowded? This is completely the wrong area for building a high volume of housing. The Shurdington road already struggles to cope with traffic in the morning with people driving to work and school. It is a massive worry that this will get even worse, I think extra houses in this area would be a massive problem and add unnecessary issues to the area - DO NOT BUILD HERE!
We wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I'm also greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..............................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road and beyond.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Already I can travel by bus from Cheltenham town centre along the A40 to the city of Gloucester (9 miles away) quicker than the bus can take me to my own home in Leckhampton. This is a ridiculous situation and cannot be allowed to worsen.

5 NOV 2013

Environ
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: The LWWH...Parish...Council...documenting their objections is excellent and comprehensive and should be fully taken into account.

The traffic congestion on Shurdington Moor and a Church roads at peak hours is a real problem and an up to date traffic survey is needed. The growth in the number of heavy commercial vehicles must be recognised and the excessive pollution they cause...otherwise the effect of all the proposed houses...the number of which is excessive and needs to be rethought...will have a disastrous effect on the infrastructure.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ... I firmly support all the objections raised by Leckhampton Parish Council, Church Rd 1 Shurdington roads are already very congested. Have member of the JCS themselves tried travelling on them at peak periods? The green fields provide one of the few nature reserves whose wildlife is still able to survive to the benefit of everybody. There is no firm evidence that so many new homes are necessary.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

This is a beautiful rural area that will be completely changed to a suburban area by this amount of building.

Local people must surely have some say in their environment. We are, after all, still supposed to be a democracy, not living in a dictatorship.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ...

............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for prevention of traffic congestion and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road. Recent accidents have illustrated how horrendous congestion can occur. We must get our traffic planning right.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

Church Road is a major route to the hospital. Increased traffic must not increase the danger to our children.

Without jobs we cannot envisage these huge increases in population. We would be building to house commuters to Bristol, Oxford and Birmingham.

Proposed changes to Kidnappitt Lane and Leckhampton Lane will only add more congestion and pollution.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to these traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I AM CONCERNED ABOUT LOCAL HOUSE VALUES BEING EFFECTED AND STRONGLY AGREE WITH ALL OTHER OBJECTIONS.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moordend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

..........................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected, deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Before granting planning permission for

OUTSTANDING: SHOULDN'T WE NOT BE LOOKING AT THE

LONG, EMPTY SIRE OF THE ODEON CINEMA!

HANNES & STRANGE, BLACK & WHITE COACH STATION

AND THE POTENTIAL FOR THE KIDLASS MOSS SITE AT

916 LECKHAMPTON ROAD.
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

We moved from Charlton Kings to Leckhampton about 4 years ago. As soon as we moved in, we began receiving numerous planning applications, many of which were quite considerable. The largest was a proposal to build 650 houses in an area of outstanding natural beauty at the top of Fulkerton Hill. It was a long, hard struggle, but planning was eventually turned down. This is an area that we need green spaces like we need oxygen. Have all brownfield sites been considered?

We struggle to cope with additional traffic and health care services.

Name: [Redacted]
Address: 20, Freeland Close, LECKHAMPTON, GL5 3DF

Ref: 13/01605/OUT

Received: 6 Nov 2013

ENVIRONMENT
PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE
13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

This application is premature, and should not go before the planning committee until the Joint Care Strategy for the area of Cheltenham, Tewkesbury and Gloucester City has been finalised.

My specific objections are:

* Increased traffic on Hungerton Road.
* Lack of school places available for my children.

---

Name

Address: St. Moor End Park Road

Ref. 13/01605/OUT

 Somerset - 6 Nov 2013
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

APPLICATION IS PREMATURE + SHOULD NOT GO

AHEAD UNTIL THE JCS HAS BEEN FINAUSED AND
ALL ASPECTS (TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT, POPULATION GROWTH) HAVE BEEN VERIFIED

Name
Address

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

I believe the road infrastructure around Leckhampton cannot accommodate a significant increase in population without major congestion in Shurdington, Bath and Leckhampton roads. The modelling of traffic flow based upon the current demographic of mature and retired people is not a safe assumption as the new housing will mainly be taken by working age people who make more frequent journeys by car (Church Road, Hall Road, Marred Park Road (near GP's), Marenco Road (nr. Hall Road junction) and Leckhampton Road (near Coop) are effectively single tracked by parked cars on other roads in the area) which need restricted access to prevent "rat runs". The Bath Road roundabout will become blocked in peak hours.

Name: [Redacted]
Address: 10 Fernfield Road

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

* No! to 650 houses
* Brown field sites should be used first
* Extra vehicles all exiting on Stroud Green Rd.
* Nearby roads becoming "rat runs"
* Not enough spaces at local secondary schools.
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

We are concerned about traffic queues and air quality that would result from this application. Traffic is likely to increase in Manor, Park, Bath and Shurdington Roads and bus stops for secondary schools. Stands still during peak times. This application is premature since the Ten is not yet finalised and there is a big question as to whether there is a need for so many homes. We know the area will change but awaiting planning consent before such health and safety problems are adequately dealt with would be mad.
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

This site has too many houses.

No thought has been made as to the traffic implications as there will be another 1000 cars to contend with on the A46 which is now frequented to Strouding for every day.

But Hillside Villages often wish to live in small villages as opposed to towns not one big lump in one place just to use and overloaded by the carriageway.

Name [Redacted]
Address [Redacted]

Ref. 13/01605/OUT
Recd - 6 Nov 2013
PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON THE JOINT CORE STRATEGY (JCS) by 24th NOVEMBER

Would the LIB DEM policy on the JCS and their threat to the Cheltenham Greenbelt and Open Countryside at Leckhampton affect YOUR VOTE in the local elections next May?

Comments & Observations on the Joint Core Strategy, 33,000 houses to 2031

As attached to CBE.

BUILT


Name ...........................................

Address ...........................................
PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE
13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th OCTOBER (BUT probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

Mr Simon Preece at the Residence, Raynham Road

Concerns re: Noise & Traffic Issues

Vehicles Exiting on Woodmanston Road Too a

Agility A Boasting at Both Times

Long Journey to the West is Insufferable

Capacity of Road with More Vehicles in Winter Months

Ref. 13/01605/OUT

END

Name

Address

END

END
Leckhampton with Warden Hill Parish Council

PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS ON THE DRAFT JOINT CORE STRATEGY AND ON A PROPOSAL FOR 650 NEW HOMES ON THE LECKHAMPTON FIELDS

The Gloucester-Cheltenham-Tewkesbury Joint Core Strategy has been opened a second time for public consultation. Even if you responded to the first consultation in 2012, it is important to respond again. You are also invited to respond on the planning application submitted by Bovis and Miller Homes to build 650 new homes on the Leckhampton fields.

PUBLIC MEETING ON PLANNING APPLICATION FOR 650 NEW HOMES

Leckhampton with Warden Hill (LWWH) Parish Council is holding a PUBLIC MEETING on WEDNESDAY 27 NOVEMBER at 7.30 pm at LECKHAMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL. Tracey Crews (Cheltenham Borough Council Director of Planning), Craig Hemphill (Planning Officer) and Mark Power from Gloucestershire Highways will be attending to answer questions and hear your views. All local residents, whether or not in the Parish, are invited.

MAIN OBJECTIONS TO THE PLANNING APPLICATION

1. The JCS may have greatly over-estimated how many new homes are needed

The JCS estimates that 10,800 new homes are needed in Cheltenham between now and 2031. It proposes building 6699 of these on greenfield sites - 1075 in Leckhampton, 795 between Chargrove Lane and Up Hatherley Way and 4829 in north-west Cheltenham. However, the government Office of National Statistics estimates that only 6070 new homes are needed. Based on the ONS figures, there is no need to build on the Leckhampton fields.

2. Traffic queue on A46

We already have long traffic queues on the A46. Expert analysis predicts that the 1075 new homes proposed in Leckhampton plus 1548 also proposed in Brockworth would make the morning A46 traffic queue over 3 miles long. It could take over an hour to commute into Cheltenham. And this does not include the other 795 homes proposed. A recent government report warns that towns where traffic prevents people commuting will drive jobs away.

*It is very important to respond on the proposed development. You can use this tear off slip if you wish*

Name: .................................................. Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT

Address: .............................................. CHELTENHAM, GL53 OSB

(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)

I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

√(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

√(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

√(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
3. Traffic congestion in Church Road

The developers recognise that it is essential to prevent any substantial increase in the peak time traffic in Church Road, which is close to gridlock. Their suggested solution is to partially close Leckhampston Lane and to close Kidnappers Lane; then to make a tortuous route through the new development to hopefully discourage through traffic between the A46 and Church Road. Not only is this liable to cause many accidents but also it will substantially increase traffic levels on Moorend Park Road.

4. Traffic pollution from the A46 traffic queue and in Church Road

A new government report says that traffic pollution poses a serious health risk. Stationary and slow moving traffic causes the most pollution. Measurements by the Borough Council show that pollution levels already exceed EU-permitted limits near the A46 junction with Moorend Park Road. Pollution in Church Road has exceeded EU-permitted limits in winter.

5. Risk of flooding

The developers’ flood prevention and drainage plan uses balancing ponds to capture run-off from the proposed development. Many of these ponds will be deep and well below the water table. So they might partially fill with water and would then not have sufficient capacity. The development could also affect underground water flows under the A46 into Warden Hill. The developers believe it should all work fine but they cannot be absolutely sure.

6. Lack of sufficient school places

The proposed development includes a new primary school, but this would not be built until a later stage in the development. In the meantime there would be no primary provision for the first 300 or so homes. For secondary schooling, Balcarras and Bournside are always over-subscribed. They are both academies and cannot be forced to expand. Balcarras has insufficient land to expand anyway. At the JCS public consultation event on 19 October, the JCS team could give no answer on secondary schooling to concerned residents.

7. The strong public opposition to development

The findings from the public surveys conducted by LWWH Parish Council at the exhibitions held by the developers showed very strong opposition to development on the Leckhampston fields. Over 94% of people were opposed or strongly opposed to the proposed development.

*It is very important to respond on the proposed development. You can use this tear off slip if you wish*

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampston fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampston Hill.

Other comments: ..................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................
Ref: 13/01660/OUT

Dear Planning Officers,

Chertsey Borough Council,

I grew up in Heckington and my husband and I visit my mother for approximately 2 months every year (83 Heckington Road). Over the years, but especially recently, we have been horrified by the increasing volumes of traffic, especially along Thirsk Drive and on to Heckington Road. It is a serious problem already so we are both vehemently opposed to planning application no. 13/01660/OUT - Kidnappers Lane.

Pollution is also a problem when walking as the fumes are choking and the air quality poor. To allow development...
It would be irresponsible as levels already exceed EU regulations at times.

The land in question is good quality agricultural land which should be used for growing local food and improving sustainability. Please use tranquil

broadfield sites now irreplaceable, productive land.

This area is also used widely by walkers, horse riders, families, bird

watchers for healthy exercise, recreation and peaceful enjoyment.

It is an important wildlife corridor.

Building on these lands would present

very real and serious risks of flooding in the area.

Please, please do not allow

development on these massive scales here.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Vivien Weise
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: If all the brownfield sites and empty houses were used, there would be very little need for all this building. Sadly developers are only interested in the money they will earn, they don't seem to care for the lives of all those who will be blighted by all this extra building.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems, esp. pertaining to Church Rd & Shurdington Road.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/ my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

1) The proposed increase in secondary school pupils would impact on the current secondary schools within the area. These schools are already over-subscribed and cannot cope with a further increase in numbers from the proposed development. This will only cause a further impact to existing local pupils who are planning to go to these over-subscribed schools.

2) The wildlife mitigation measures do not compensate for the overall permanent and significant loss of local biodiversity. The development will destroy habitats and existing habitat connectivity, also detract from this ru
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Once this is destroyed, we'll leave a most desecrated legacy to all generations to come. PLEASE reconsider!
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I use these fields everyday for dog walking. It is one of the many reasons we chose to live in this area - being close to town but within easy reach of lovely countryside.

But for me the main concern is secondary education, which doesn't appear to have been considered. Redevelop the empty properties in town and encourage more applications to under subscribed schools instead of boosting numbers.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the Grave Traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ............................................................................................................................................
...................................................................................................................................................................
...................................................................................................................................................................
...................................................................................................................................................................
...................................................................................................................................................................
...................................................................................................................................................................
...................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Chedworth Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Since the closure... A.R.E. department...Cheltenham Hospital...at certain times...ambulance...now...go...by...Gloucester Hospital... (and back)... if... they... are... trapped... in... traffic jams... as... seems... unavailable... this could... be... very... dangerous... for... urgently... sick... patients...
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I walk around the area a lot every day. I walk my dog through Burroughs Field, Lots Meadow and the fields around Church Road. Meeting other people walking, children playing or picking blackberries. How the green spaces are important to the health and cohesion of the community. This area is also rich in wildlife so too precious to lose.

162 Leckhampton Road Chepstow GL53 0DH
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I walk...around the area...a lot...every day...I walk my dog through Burroughs field, lots of gardens and the fields around Church Road meeting other people walking, children playing or picking blackberries. How the green spaces are important to the health and cohesion of the community. This area...is also rich in wildlife and too precious to lose.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) ✓ Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) ✓ The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) ✓ The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) ✓ I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) ✓ I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ...IT...IS...IMPORTANT...TO...BUILD...IN...LECKHAMPTON...GREEN...SPACES...FOR...ALL...THE...REASONS...SAID...IN...THIS...WHITE...PAPER...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

The loss of the Local Green Space would be traumatic for not only the local communities but Cirencester as a whole.

The proposed improvements to the A417 turn-off are wholly inadequate and fail to recognise the existing problems which will greatly worsen if proposed developments proceed with associated traffic congestion, pollution and density of traffic in residential/school area with increased risk to pedestrians, specifically along Church Road.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am **personally affected** / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LVWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Traffic is already excessive on Church Dr. Any increase is totally absurd. I am not convinced by the provision outlined in the application. I am amazed Leck fields is not already a Local Green Space. It is beautiful. And once it's gone it's gone forever.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
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(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................
.........................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

I would like to agree with all the reasons given to object to the proposed development.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload on Church Road and Godolphin in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic
issues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made
in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its
amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments:

I object strongly to traffic on the disease and
demolition of open fields.

Build in town is my answer and improve
desperate buildings.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

CHRISTCHURCH AND ITS SURROUNDING AREAS ARE BECOMING FACELESS AS MORE GREENFIELD AREAS ARE ERODED AWAY. A TOWN IS MORE THAN HOMES IT IS GREEN AREAS FOR NATURE TO ENHANCE OUR ENVIRONMENT.
I totally object to this proposal.

The traffic on Station Road is already excessive causing congestion and pollution. The greenfields provide recreational space.

[Signature]
2nd Nov, 2013

Name: [redacted]
Address: 11, Parkside Rd, AL5 0ZX
Public Consultation on Outline Planning Application Kidnappers Lane
13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18th October (But probably to January 2014)

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

1. I find all this redevelopment plans very difficult to understand.
2. I think estimated statistics are flawed overestimated.
3. More traffic the roads can’t take it or the air pollution.
4. Employment is not available for the increased population.
5. AONB - Green Belt will disappear forever.

Name
Address

13/01605/OUT
Ref.

7 Nov 2013
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road, which is a popular bicycle commuter route.
(d) I am personally affected by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: My family and I frequently walk in these fields, and cycle and walk on local roads. Green space is a precious resource for the people of Cheltenham and it should not be squandered.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) ✔ Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) ✔ The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) ✔ The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................

........................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I highly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: 

........................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: A valued countryside area would be lost for ever...
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurprisington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: .................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: At the moment it takes sometimes 20 mins to get out of my drive. God knows how long if the development takes place. Also how sad if all the small holders lose their living. Wiping out a way of life never to be replaced.
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) All my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: THE THINGS THAT AFFECT ME

ARE THE ERODING THAT MAY HAPPEN

9 FOOT PATHS
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: We would become part of a wider urban
...Sprawl... if plan goes ahead... Traffic is bad in this area... it takes
up to an hour to travel to Cheltenham... bus lib... and bus 10 would
need to be added on... shops... doctors... schools... would be needed...
...There are no jobs... so that... in this area... So, people would... spend
a long time commuting between... Cheltenham... Girls... The area would be
damaged... polluted... A lot of older people live here... they would
not be able to get out and about. Risk of flooding would
become more evident. It rains a lot here!
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) Ioulderfield greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: Huge concerns over school places for our children... I assume... existing families cannot be guaranteed... and so... do not believe it is fair that places may be lost to others moving to the area...

Huge concerns over flooding also, as well as risk of accidents and pollution!
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected/deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) My family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ........................................................................................................................................................................
...............................................................................................................................................................................................
...............................................................................................................................................................................................
...............................................................................................................................................................................................
...............................................................................................................................................................................................
...............................................................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: ..........................................................................................................................................................................................
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.

(b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.

(c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.
(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.

(e) I/my family greatly value the Lockhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the TWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Lockhampton Hill.

Other comments: As a cyclist, I see journeys more problematic, especially during winter, early mornings and late afternoons if this development is approved.

4-11-13
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields

I have lived in Cheltenham since 1968, first in Moroed Park Road and since 1995 on Leckhampton Road and have witnessed at first hand the increase of traffic volume in both these areas, the Shurdington Road and Church Road. The latter is dreadful from about 7am to 9am and 1.30pm to 6.30pm with a constant stream of traffic.

I really believe that those who wish to build on land adjoining either Shurdington Road, Church Road and Leckhampton Road, have never actually visited the area during the busy times... Yes, people need affordable housing but deserve a decent support system too. The existing infra structure is already stressed...

Name: [Redacted]
Address: 132 Leckhampton Road, Cheltenham, GL53 0BX

Ref. 13/01605/OUT