

Committee – Planning Committee

Date 18th April 2024

Member Questions (2 total)

1. Question from Councillor David Willingham to Chris Gomm (Head of Development Management, Enforcement and Compliance) and Chair of Planning – Councillor Paul Baker

It is evident from Planning Committee reports the Council recognises the necessity of compliance with its s149 Equality Act 2010 Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) as part of the planning process. Could the Chairman please confirm whether officers and committee members are aware of the principles established in Brown [2008] EWHC 3158 (Admin) <https://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2008/3158.html> and Bracking [2013] EWCA Civ 1345 <https://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2013/1345.html> which suggest to comply with the PSED, << *There is a need for a "conscious approach" and the duty must be exercised "in substance, with rigour and with an open mind"*>> , does the Chairman share my concerns using the same boilerplate text in multiple different planning reports may be non-compliant these principles, and can he assure me advice will be sought and followed to ameliorate the situation?

Response from Chris Gomm (Head of Development Management, Enforcement and Compliance) and Chair of Planning – Councillor Paul Baker

Planning Officers have due regard to the Equality Act 2020, and its stipulations, when assessing the implications of all development proposals. Members are also reminded of this duty, and their obligations under the Act, within committee reports. It is important that committee reports are proportionate in their detail to each issue that is addressed and as such reference (in committee reports) to the public sector equality duty is necessarily light in many cases. Going forward, the planning team can look at whether this is something that needs to be refined.

2. Question from Councillor David Willingham to Chris Gomm (Head of Development Management, Enforcement and Compliance) and Chair of Planning – Councillor Paul Baker

At paragraph 9.2.1 of Part 5D Planning Protocol of Cheltenham Borough Council's Constitution, it is clear planning applications submitted by the Council itself must be determined at Planning Committee. Could the Chairman please advise how this should work when Cheltenham Borough Council is a potential financial beneficiary outside of the planning process, such as being a land-owner willing to conditionally dispose of assets to the applicant, but is not the applicant; and in the interests of openness, transparency, and compliance with the Nolan Principles would he consider how such situations should be best dealt with in future by the Planning Team and Planning Committee?

Response from Chris Gomm (Head of Development Management, Enforcement and Compliance) and Chair of Planning – Councillor Paul Baker

Land and property ownership is not a material planning consideration; members of the planning committee are provided with training that, amongst other things, makes this very clear. Members of the committee are therefore unable to take into account council ownership, and any associated financial benefit, when they consider and vote on any application. Such situations are very common and it is not considered that there is any need to change current practices.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2024 8:00 AM
To: Councillor Paul Baker <cllr.paul.baker@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Garth Barnes <cllr.garth.barnes@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Graham Beale <cllr.graham.beale@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Jackie Chelin <cllr.jackie.chelin@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Tony Oliver <cllr.tony.oliver@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Julie Sankey <cllr.julie.sankey@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Glenn Andrews <cllr.glenn.andrews@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Adrian Bamford <cllr.adrian.bamford@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Bernard Fisher <cllr.bernard.fisher@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Paul McCloskey <cllr.paul.mccloskey@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Emma Nelson <cllr.emma.nelson@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Martin Horwood <cllr.martin.horwood@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Diggory Seacome <cllr.diggory.seacome@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Simon Wheeler <cllr.simon.wheeler@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Barbara Clark <cllr.barbara.clark@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Councillor Izaak Tailford <cllr.izaac.tailford@cheltenham.gov.uk>; Democratic Services (CBC) <democratic.services@cheltenham.gov.uk>
Subject: Belmont School Planning Meeting

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [learn why this is important](#)

Dear Councillors,

Further to the many letters written to you regarding the potential 'stopping' of Sunday afternoon specialist cycling sessions at Belmont school, we would like to add how this would impact on our son.

We are the parents [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Having said this, it is very clear that he enjoys cycling as he is always very eager to climb onto the side by side cycle. This, in spite of him having to be strapped on for his safety and wearing a cycling helmet. Although he is unable to [REDACTED] as the cycle is so specialised and the 2 sets of pedals are linked. [REDACTED] legs and feet move. This gives him the cycling experience and the benefits of low impact exercise. Added to this he is able to feel the elements on his face and observe his surroundings from a different perspective.

There have been very few things we've found in his life that; [REDACTED] (b). He is accepted, even within the world of [REDACTED] the wonderful volunteers and the other attendees, everyone is made very welcome and accepted. Everyone is very social and happy to help if needed.

We find it very hard to understand how this very small group could be impacting the local residents 'respite' time. The session is very quiet. As has been suggested in one of the previous letters, I would urge you to visit a session and see first hand how joyous they are. how they help on a physical, social and well being level for everyone who attends.

We would suggest that any 'small noise' that may occasionally be heard be no more than, someone mowing their lawn, dogs barking, children playing,, or people having bbqs/parties with music in their gardens, a fun thing to enjoy. Cycling for our son, certainly is one of the very few 'fun' things he is able to partake in, due to his many [REDACTED]

Please think deeply when making your decision, please don't remove this activity from [REDACTED] life and the lives of everyone who depends on [REDACTED] and her cycles.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]