

Cheltenham Borough Council Planning Committee

Meeting date: 23 March 2023

Meeting time: 6.00 pm

Meeting venue: Council Chamber - Municipal Offices

Membership:

Councillor Paul Baker (Chair), Councillor Garth Barnes (Vice-Chair), Councillor Glenn Andrews, Councillor Adrian Bamford, Councillor Bernard Fisher, Councillor Paul McCloskey, Councillor Emma Nelson, Councillor Tony Oliver, Councillor John Payne, Councillor Diggory Seacome and Councillor Simon Wheeler

Important notice – filming, recording and broadcasting of Council meetings

This meeting will be recorded by the council for live broadcast online at www.cheltenham.gov.uk and <https://www.youtube.com/@cheltenhambc/streams>
The Chair will confirm this at the start of the meeting.

If you participate in the meeting, you consent to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for broadcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any questions on the issue of filming/recording of meetings, please contact Democratic Services.

Speaking at Planning Committee

To find out more about Planning Committee or to register to speak, please click [here](#).

Please note: the deadline to register to speak is 10.00am on the Wednesday before the meeting.

Contact: democraticservices@cheltenham.gov.uk
Phone: 01242 264 246

Agenda

5a 20/00759/FUL Elms Park, Tewkesbury Road, Cheltenham (Pages 5 - 6)
[Planning application documents](#)

This page is intentionally left blank

From: Flo Clucas <flo.clucas@btinternet.com>

Date: 7 July 2020 at 20:58:19 BST

To: David.Oakhill@cheltenham.gov.uk, Craig.Hemphill@cheltenham.gov.uk

Subject: Manor Rd Planning Application

Good Evening,

Firstly, my apologies. I have had meetings from 12pm UK time and am therefore late in my e mail to you.

There are several issues that are of concern. Some of them are:

Traffic: Would it be possible to have the entrance to the site from Swindon Farm, or Tewkesbury Rd?

The issue of traffic going through the village is of great concern.

Heritage: i am not happy at the virtual dismissal of heritage assets and historic sites that have not been fully explored. Can this be rectified?

Flooding potential is also causing some anxiety;

Sustainability of the site. Nowhere is it evident that broadband has been put in, nor that the houses and flats are at acceptable ecological and carbon standards. This would be an ideal opportunity to build - as in other places - virtual carbon neutral homes. A réduction is not enough.

Incidentally, the recognised international sustainability criteria, includes the current community, not just the cash flow of the developer. How is the current community to be sustained?

What about electric car charging points being built in?

Paths need to allow a pram and a pedestrian to pass one another 3m or thereabouts - I don't see that on the plans.

Schools: Where are children to be educated?

The current plan presupposes acceptance of the master plan, which is not agreed. Surely that is not acceptable?

Best wishes,

Flo