

Potential Sites for Play Builders - Cheltenham Borough

Site	Local Context/Social Issues + Prioritisation by CSP thematics	Current level of play provision in area?	Scope for natural play?	YP/Local Community Consultation?	Feasibility/Practicality?	Play Deprivation	Site Score
Agg Gardner Rec	1st priority - area is top priority for CSP due to highest level of IMD, health, crime and childhood poverty. Most deprived ward in Borough	Existing LEAP. Equipment 7-8 Years old.	Yes	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	27
Score	5	3	5	5	5	4	27
Springfield Park	6th Priority - in recognition of the good progress already made in redesigning open space. NB High levels of depriv. in respect of IMD and Crime & Dis	Existing LEAP and LAP. Equipment 5 - 6 years old, LAP in very poor condition. Recently developed with enhanced natural play opportunities / landscaping	Yes	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment	26
Score	5	3	5	5	5	3	26
Moors/St Peters (BMX area)	2nd Priority. Limited regeneration work to date and high levels childhood poverty and Crime and ASB	No equipment, dis-used BMX track.	Yes	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	25
Score	4	5	5	4	3	4	25
Hesters Way Park	Low priority. NB High levels of deprivation in respect of IMD, Crime, Health & Income	Existing LAP. Equipment 3-4 years old.	Yes	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will not considerably increase area of catchment	22
Score	3	3	4	5	5	2	22
QE2 Park	Low priority. Low levels of relative deprivation despite pockets of social housing	Existing LEAP. Equipment 11-12 years old.	Yes	??	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	21
Score	2	5	5	2	3	4	21
Benhall Park	5th Priority - a current police priority for ASB. NB Low levels of relative deprivation	Existing LEAP. Equipment 2 - 6 years old	Yes	Via YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	21
Score	2	3	5	3	4	4	21

Site	Local Context + Social Issues + CSP thematics	Current level of play provision in area?	Scope for natural play?	YP/Local Community Consultation?	Feasibility/Practicality?	Play Deprivation	Site Score
Priors Park	Medium priority. NB Pockets with moderate deprivation and ASB	Existing LEAP, recent toddler equipment, poor junior provision	Yes	Via PR+ NHP	Feasible	Upgrading to a NEAP will increase catchment	
Score	4	3	4	4	3	3	21
KGV (Rowanfield entrance)	4th Priority - a current police priority for ASB. Also high levels of deprivation in respect of IMD and health	Existing LEAP. Equipment 4 - 5 years old.	Limited	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	
Score	4	2	2	5	3	4	20
Caerarvon Park	Low priority. NB Pockets with moderate deprivation and ASB	Existing LEAP. Equipment 8+ years old, additional youth adult on site	Yes	Via YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	
Score	3	3	3	3	4	4	20
Sandy Lane	Low priority. Low levels of relative deprivation despite pockets of social housing	Existing NEAP. Equipment 12 - 20 years old.	Yes	??	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment	
Score	1	5	4	2	5	2	19
Whaddon Rec (behind Lodge)	3rd Priority. High levels of deprivation in respect of IMD and Childhood Poverty	Existing LEAP Equipment 4 - 5 years old.	Yes	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will have limited impact on catchment into areas of play shortfall	
Score	4	2	2	4	3	3	18
Reddings Park	Low priority. Low levels of relative deprivation despite pockets of social housing	Existing NEAP. Equipment 6 - 7 years old.	Yes	Via YS	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment	
Score	2	3	3	3	3	2	16
Swindon Village/Wymans Brook	Low priority. NB Pockets with high levels of childhood poverty	Existing LEAP. Equipment 3 - 4 years old.	Yes	??	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	
Score	2	2	4	2	2	3	15

Site	Local Context + Social Issues + CSP thematics prioritisation by ASB	Current level of play provision in area?	Scope for natural play?	YP/Local Community Consultation?	Feasibility/Practicality?	Play Deprivation	Site Score
Beeches, CK	Low priority. Pockets with moderate deprivation and ASB. NB significant recent investment in park	Existing LEAP Equipment 2 to 3 years old	Yes	Via YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	4
Score		2	3	3	3		17
Burrows	Low priority. Low levels of deprivation	Existing LEAP. Equipment 5+ years old, surface replaced this year	Yes	??	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	
Score		2	4	2	4		17
Clyde Crescent	High priority. High levels of deprivation. NB significant recent investment in park and limited scope for further enhancement	Existing NEAP. Equipment 6+ years old. Recent Ballcourt installed	Yes	Via PR+, CBH and YS	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment	
Score		4	3	5	2		17
Naunton Park	Low priority. Low levels of deprivation	Existing LEAP equipment 2-3 years old, Recent Ballcourt installed	Yes	Via YS	Feasible	Investment will increase catchment into areas of play shortfall	
Score		2	3	3	3		16
Parklands (Whaddon)	High priority. High levels of deprivation.	No equipment on this site	Yes	Via CBH, NHP + YS	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment	
Score		5	2	4	1		17
Prestbury Rec	Low priority. NB Pockets with moderate deprivation and ASB	Parish Council area, existing equipment 5+ years old, recent goal/basket ball single end	Yes	Via Parish Council	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment. Access limited by road crossings	
Score		3	2	3	2		14
Sandford Park	Low priority. Low levels of deprivation	Recent equipment installed	Yes	??	Feasible	Investment will not increase existing catchment	
Score		2	2	2	3		13

S106 Available		POTENTIAL PROJECT OVERVIEW
Site		
Agg Gardner Rec	342.62	Forms part of HLF bid, stage 1 outcome known by end March 2009. Will not fit in with restoration timetable if successful, but high priority if not. Would <u>NOT</u> be included within portfolio if HLF bid is successful. Create new, larger play spaces and integrate amongst new and existing trees. Using existing mounds and introduce dead wood and boulders. Remove fencing where appropriate and encourage play in the wider setting.
Springfield Park	0	Further investment needed to integrate existing play areas into landscape and relocate LAP. Investment could further enhance range of opportunities to provide an exemplar project. Scope exists to better integrate the old formal playing areas into the naturalistic landscape. Investment at this site will have a lesser impact on the future maintenance burden, as it is already well established.
Moors/St Peters (BMX area)	0	Currently disused. Good potential for natural play, and strong local demand from nearby residents. Potential to consider linkage to adjacent park. Utilise existing fill to encourage cycling, and create spaces for adventurous play using boulders, and planting.
Hesters Way Park	0	Large play area, recently installed in park setting. Re-utilise existing equipment, which has a high play value, and redesign into landscape setting. Introduce new tree planting, and wild flower areas. Integrate boulders and dead wood.
QE2 Park	0	Former land fill site, possibly constraining extent of ground works. Play area in need of refurbishment. Potential to use existing wooded area and embankments. Remove fencing where appropriate and utilise boulders and dead wood. Integrate new climbing play equipment into natural landscape setting.
Benhall Park		Potential for natural play opportunities in existing wooded area, and opportunities for "self built play features amongst it's existing banks and fallen wood. Make the stream more accessible for play.
	1630.5	

Site	0	POTENTIAL PROJECT OVERVIEW
Priors Park		Scope for natural play adjacent to farm land and stream. Opportunities for introducing dead wood, boulders and ground modeling.
KGV (Rowanfield entrance)	0	Scope is limited whilst current level of pitch provision is maintained. This is the most used borough playing pitch site. If a pitch on the bottom tier were decommissioned then this would increase the scope, and it would score better. It is also next to a primary and junior school. Potential for new facility, could include and new landscape setting including ground
Caerarvon Park	957	Space limited as existing play and youth adult equipment dispersed across site. Would need a complete change to existing layout. Heavy use during summer evenings has caused 'anti social' problems (resulting in a dispersal order covering the play area
Sandy Lane	0	An old play area in need of investment, good opportunities for natural play utilising wild flower, and tree planting. Create clearings for play opportunities.
Whaddon Rec (behind Lodge)	1806	Limited space in relation to nearby residential.
Reddings Park	284.5	Limited space in relation to nearby residential.
Swindon Village/ Wymans Brook	0	The part of the site that abuts Wymans Brook that has the most potential for natural play is very isolated, and it is difficult to see how footfall and natural surveillance can be increased adequately.
	0	

POTENTIAL PROJECT OVERVIEW	
Site	
Beeches, CK	Space in level grass area with potential for extensive play area. Scope to create a natural area that would benefit the whole site, not just the play area
	364
Burrows	No space in play area but this is biased towards younger children. It is in a large sports field with wilder edges bordering farm land. Potential for natural play
	910
Clyde Crescent	Scope for natural play by redesigning existing "traditional" facility, but limited due to proximity of football pitches and neighbouring properties.
	4344
Naunton Park	Some existing natural elements to existing area which has recently been revamped. Scope limited due to proximity of sports pitches.
	0
Parklands (Whaddon)	Close proximity to adjacent residents and isolated. Ownership issues This area is not currently maintained by the Operations Division, and no funds are currently identified to maintain it. Limited scope for play.
	0
Prestbury Rec	Limited scope for natural play due to proximity of sports pitches, and residential properties.
	0
Sandford Park	Limited opportunity for natural play in current location.
	0