Summary of Residual Risks – Community Services risk register

Ref	Risk Title	Previous Residual Risk Ratings							rent idual k Rati		Direction of travel	Managing risk		
		Sept 03 (I/L/score)			June 05 (I/L/score)			Jan 06 (Impact x Likelihood = Score)				Responsibility	Treatment (TARCA)	Target score (April 06)
2. Deliv	vering supporting people/ support	ed ho	using	and c	omm	unity o	develo	pme	nt		I			1
2.1	Uncertainty about the impact of cuts in revenue budgets and external funding on vulnerable groups. (reputation/ corporate priority and personal H&S risks - stress.)	-	-	-	3	4	12	3	4	12		Community development manager	Accept and manage: service reviews, lobbying government bodies.	12
2.2	Unable to proactively manage partnerships with voluntary groups, external agencies in order to optimise outcomes due to lack of internal dedicated resources.	4	4	16	4	3	12	4	4	16		Community partnerships manager	Reduce: SLAs with partners.	
2.3	External funding streams are diminishing for supported housing and community development schemes, threatening on-going projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	20		AD Community Services	Reduce but accept significant level of risk	16
4.6	Major capacity problems with representing voluntary and community sector organisations across the whole of Cheltenham, which could ultimately led to a greater demand for CBC services and support	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	9		AD CS/ Community development manager	Reduce risk: new merger with the Gloucester CVS should help secure the long-term future of CAVA in Cheltenham	

Ref	Risk Title	Previous Residual Risk Ratings						Current Residual Risk Rating			Direction of travel	Managing risk		
			ot 03 /score	e)	June 05 (I/L/score)			Jan 06 (Impact x Likelihood = Score)				Responsibility	Treatment (TARCA)	Target score (April 06)
5. Cros	ss Cutting/ Strategic										1			1
5.2	The Council being unable to meet the key obligations of the Gloucestershire Compact with the voluntary and community sectors which could result in claims of conflict	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	9		Community development manager	Reduce risk and look corporately at the Council's implementation of the Compact and at the impact of any claims of non-compliance	
5.3	On going budgetary cuts affecting funding opportunities for the voluntary and community sector	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	12		AD CS/ Community development manager	Reduce risk by highlighting corporately the impact of reducing this area of funding and consider any potential impact with regards to the implementation of the Compact	

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 24	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores as soon as possible
Amber	7 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the short/medium term or establish a contingency plan
Green	0-6	Tolerate and monitor within the division